



---

**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC  
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT  
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2009**

---

**JUNE 19, 2009**

**Brett Haan**

Deloitte Consulting LLP  
1676 International Drive  
McLean, VA 22102  
T: 703.747.4968  
[bhaan@deloitte.com](mailto:bhaan@deloitte.com)

**Kamesh Mullapudi**

Deloitte Consulting LLP  
1676 International Drive  
McLean, VA 22102  
T: 703.747.6820  
[kmullapudi@deloitte.com](mailto:kmullapudi@deloitte.com)

**Robert B. Kelly, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
T: 202.626.6216  
F: 202.626.6780  
[rkelly@ssd.com](mailto:rkelly@ssd.com)

**Douglas L. Povich, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  
8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tysons Corner, VA 22182  
T: 703.720.7888  
F: 703.720.7801  
[dpovich@ssd.com](mailto:dpovich@ssd.com)

**Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President**

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC  
2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133  
Lafayette, CO 80026  
T: 303.444.1480  
F: 888.361.0603  
[JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com](mailto:JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
A. Program Wide Snapshot .....	5
1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations.....	5
2. Reconfiguration Implementation .....	6
B. Recent Developments .....	8
1. True-up Deadline .....	8
2. Vacated Interleaved Band Channels and NPSPAC Clearing.....	8
3. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports .....	9
4. U.S.-Mexican Border Region .....	10
<b>II. QUARTERLY UPDATE.....</b>	<b>11</b>
A. Wave Update.....	11
1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120).....	11
2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band).....	13
3. Implementation Schedule Implications.....	19
4. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline.....	21
5. Canadian Border Regions .....	22
B. Program Financial Information.....	27
1. Reconfiguration Expenditures .....	27
2. Letter of Credit .....	29
3. External Audit.....	29
4. Transition Administrator.....	30
5. Review and Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications .....	30
C. Specialized Support Functions.....	32
1. Stakeholder Inquiries .....	32
2. TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website.....	33
3. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training .....	34
4. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review .....	34
<b>III. APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009</b>

<b>APPENDIX 2</b>	<b>Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, and the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009 and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing, Expansion Band Reconfiguration Status, and Southeast ESMR Band Reconfiguration Status</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3</b>	<b>Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4</b>	<b>Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 5</b>	<b>Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 6</b>	<b>Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 7</b>	<b>Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 8</b>	<b>Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>APPENDIX 9</b>	<b>800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2009</b>

## OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,<sup>1</sup> the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.<sup>2</sup>

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum. There are two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the planning and negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the physical retuning of the radio systems.

During the first quarter of 2009, there was significant progress towards completion of the reconfiguration program in many areas. Non-border Stage 2 licensees continue to make steady progress with FRA negotiations and their implementation activities. As of March 31, 2009, the licensees in 1,198 non-border FRAs completed their physical retunes. In the U.S.-Canada border regions, significant progress has been made in planning and negotiation activities since the reconfiguration period began in October 2008.

The planning and negotiation phase for non-border Stage 2 licensees is approaching completion, with 86 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs submitted to the TA as of March 31, 2009. Many of the outstanding FRAs are for licensees with large and complex systems. The remaining licensees without FRAs are either: (1) in the planning process, in which they are conducting planning activities pursuant to a Planning Funding Agreement (“PFA”) and working on preparing a cost estimate for their reconfiguration; or (2) in the process of negotiating an FRA with Sprint Nextel. Some of these licensees have reached verbal agreement on, but have not yet executed, an FRA.

---

<sup>1</sup> Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, *Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004), *amended by Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2004), *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004), and *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” *Public Notice*, 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004), *amended by Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005); *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007), *amended by Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007); *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 17209 (2007) (“*Third MO&O*”); “FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227 (2007) (“*September 12, 2007 Public Notice*”); *Second Report and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (2008), *amended by Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. May 28, 2008) (“*Second Report and Order*”); *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 18512 (2008) (“*Fourth MO&O*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

<sup>2</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3) (2008).

In the implementation phase, there were 30 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees completed their physical retunes during the first quarter. More than one-third of non-border Stage 2 licensees completed the physical retuning of their radio systems as of March 31, 2009. The completion of retuning and the commencement of system operations on the new channels is a significant milestone because it means that the main goal of the reconfiguration process – separating Public Safety and commercial cellular operations – has been achieved for these licensees.

The reconfiguration program reached a significant milestone during the first quarter with additional 800 MHz spectrum becoming available to Public Safety agencies. On January 28, 2009, channels cleared by Sprint Nextel in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz band in non-border NPSPAC regions were made available to Public Safety licensees. As NPSPAC licensees clear channels in the old NPSPAC band and reach certain clearing benchmarks in a region, Sprint Nextel will vacate additional interleaved band spectrum in that region. Based on clearing progress to date in certain regions, the TA expects that additional spectrum will be made available for Public Safety agencies in the next few months.

In the U.S.-Canada border regions, significant progress has been made in planning and negotiation activities. By the end of the first quarter, the TA mailed Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”), which identify a licensee’s frequencies designated for reconfiguration and provide the proposed replacement frequencies, to all Canadian border Stage 2 (Public Safety) licensees. The planning funding process has successfully allowed licensees to obtain funding for their planning activities. The expedited negotiation and mediation process for Planning Funding Agreement negotiations has proven effective in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. As of March 31, 2009, Canadian border licensees and Sprint Nextel had completed negotiations on and submitted to the TA 56 PFAs, the vast majority of which were for Stage 2 licensees. These licensees are currently engaged in activities to plan for their reconfigurations and prepare reconfiguration cost estimates.

FRA negotiations for Canadian border region Stage 1 (Business, Industrial and Land Transportation (“B/ILT”) and Specialized Mobile Radio (“SMR”)) licensees are moving along, with 21 Stage 1 FRAs submitted to the TA as of March 31, 2009. Canadian border Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA officially began their planning period on January 15, 2009 and, after submitting a complete cost estimate to Sprint Nextel, such licensees will enter FRA negotiations during the second quarter of 2009. In addition, there are ongoing discussions amongst the TA, the FCC, Industry Canada, and Sprint Nextel to ensure any Canadian licensees using replacement spectrum are properly cleared prior to reconfiguration implementation by U.S. licensees.

The TA is exploring actions to expedite the completion of reconfiguration by licensees still in the program. The TA is already implementing measures to expedite the pending FRA negotiations and move these licensees into the implementation phase. One such change involves Cost Metrics Reports. When a licensee submits a cost estimate for its reconfiguration, the TA prepares a report that compares the costs in several cost categories to the costs in approved FRAs for similarly sized licensees. The report is distributed to the licensee and Sprint Nextel to serve as a baseline to be used during FRA negotiations, with the goal of expediting negotiations. In

addition, the TA is evaluating ways to speed up the implementation phase. The TA encourages licensees and vendors to use best efforts to accelerate their implementation schedules wherever possible and to consider measures to shorten the timeframes for and improve the efficiency of the subscriber unit retuning process.

Non-border licensees whose reconfiguration will not be complete by their waiver date previously granted by the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB") must request additional time from the PSHSB by filing a further request for waiver.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to the PSHSB's June 9, 2009 Order<sup>4</sup> and June 10, 2009 Public Notice,<sup>5</sup> many non-border licensees are required to file a "supplemental" request for waiver within 30 days of release of the Order (*i.e.*, by July 9, 2009). In addition, licensees that were granted waivers in the June 9, 2009 Order to complete rebanding on or before October 1, 2009 must either complete rebanding by then or submit a further request for waiver. In their "supplemental" or further requests for waiver, licensees must make a showing of good cause for extending the waiver, make a sufficient showing of their progress to date, and demonstrate that they have been working diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously.<sup>6</sup> Licensees should update the information in their prior requests for waiver and provide a revised reconfiguration timetable, including proposed milestone dates for subscriber unit deployment, infrastructure retuning, system cut-over, and post-cutover modifications. Licensees should request an extension date and demonstrate that the amount of additional time requested is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process. To expedite licensee preparation of waiver requests and PSHSB review, the PSHSB has strongly encouraged licensees to utilize the template Request for Waiver template available on the TA's website in preparing their requests for waiver.<sup>7</sup> Licensees may file their further requests for waiver with the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB") via e-mail to [PSHSB800@fcc.gov](mailto:PSHSB800@fcc.gov) or pursuant to Section 1.925 of the FCC's rules.<sup>8</sup> Licensees should send copies of the requests to the TA ([Waivers@800TA.org](mailto:Waivers@800TA.org)) and Sprint Nextel ([800MHz@Sprint.com](mailto:800MHz@Sprint.com)).

During the first quarter of 2009, licensees submitted 204 Change Notices, which is an increase from 154 Change Notices submitted during the fourth quarter of 2008. Some Change Notices are resolved quickly, but others can take time to negotiate and result in an Amendment

---

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline – Wave 1 Public Safety Regions, *Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 9430 ¶ 13 (2008) ("*Wave 1 Waiver Order*").

<sup>4</sup> Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Supplemental Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline, WT Docket No. 02-55, *Order*, DA 09-1297 (rel. June 9, 2009) ("*June 2009 Supplemental Waiver Order*").

<sup>5</sup> "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Provides Additional Guidance for Submission of Supplemental Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding," *Public Notice*, WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 09-1310 (rel. June 10, 2009).

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., *Wave 1 Waiver Order* ¶¶ 8, 13.

<sup>7</sup> The template Request for Waiver, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, is available on the TA's website at [http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding\\_deadline\\_extension\\_process.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp).

<sup>8</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.925.

submitted to the TA. In some cases, the TA uses the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreement on Change Notices. During the first quarter of 2009, licensees and Sprint Nextel negotiated and submitted to the TA 105 Amendments. In some cases, Change Notices have an impact on implementation schedules, as some licensees and vendors may delay implementation activities while a Change Notice is being addressed. The TA is looking at ways to expedite the processing of Change Notices and Amendments.

In a few instances Public Safety organizations have reduced staff or the number of hours that their staff can devote to rebanding activities due to budget cuts. The TA is closely monitoring these situations. The TA will reach out to affected licensees with suggestions on how to best accommodate changes in staffing or budgeting and look for ways to assist in maintaining licensees' work schedules.

Finally, there has been a transition in one of the members of the TA. In May 2009, Deloitte LLP acquired BearingPoint, Inc.'s North American Public Services business unit pursuant to a sale approved in BearingPoint's ongoing chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. BearingPoint personnel associated with the reconfiguration, including the TA's current Program Manager, Deputy Program Manager, and team leads, have joined Deloitte Consulting LLP and continue their work on the reconfiguration program. As a result, Deloitte Consulting LLP has replaced BearingPoint in the 800 MHz reconfiguration program.

## I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report provides a program-wide snapshot that summarizes the status of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program. It describes two measures of program progress: Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations and reconfiguration implementation. It also discusses recent developments in the program, identifies program-wide issues, and describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.


### A. Program Wide Snapshot

#### 1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations

The TA generally measures the progress of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations in two ways: (1) the number of FRAs that have been negotiated and agreed to between incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel and submitted to the TA; and (2) the number of *call signs* included in those FRAs.

An FRA specifies the reconfiguration activities and related costs for each licensee as well as the frequencies to be cleared. The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border FRAs that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR channels) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) licensees.

**Chart 1: Non-border FRAs Submitted to the TA as of March 31, 2009<sup>9</sup>**




<sup>9</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected FRAs. The estimate of total FRAs expected does not currently include all FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas for Canada and Mexico.

- Stage 1: 99 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 86 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA (an increase from 84 percent as of December 31, 2008)

For the program as a whole, 1,662 FRAs have been submitted to the TA out of a total of 1,792 FRAs expected for non-border licensees in Stages 1 and 2. This equates to 93 percent of all expected FRAs (an increase from 92 percent as of December 31, 2008).

Another indication of progress is the number of FCC licenses (each license has a unique call sign) included in FRAs. The chart below shows the number of call signs with frequencies in the Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC bands included in FRAs submitted to the TA.

**Chart 2: Call Signs in Submitted FRAs by Band<sup>10</sup>**



The relatively greater number of outstanding Channels 1-120 call signs not in FRAs that is shown in Chart 2, as compared to the number of outstanding FRAs, reflects the significant number of call signs to be reconfigured that are located in, or affected by, the Canadian and Mexican border areas. For NPSPAC, the difference is again the border factor (both Canadian and Mexican), as well as an indication that a number of the outstanding non-border FRAs are for large systems with multiple call signs.

## 2. Reconfiguration Implementation


The TA generally measures the status of reconfiguration implementation (physical retuning) progress in two ways: (1) the number of *FRAs* for which reconfiguration

<sup>10</sup> This chart includes call signs within the Canadian and Mexican border regions. Data is based on the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database. This chart does not include Expansion Band call signs.

implementation is complete; and (2) the number of *call signs* that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees.<sup>11</sup>

The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete as of March 31, 2009.

**Chart 3: Non-border FRAs with Reconfiguration Implementation Complete as of March 31, 2009**



With Sprint Nextel reporting that physical retuning is complete for 96 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs, Channels 1-120 clearing is nearing completion. 64.6 percent of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of March 31, 2009. The difference between the numbers by percentages of *call signs* compared to the numbers by percentages of *FRAs* is because the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.<sup>12</sup>

NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations often involve complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally require more time and coordination than was needed for Stage 1. During the first quarter, there were an additional 30 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. As of March 31, 2009, there were 321 FRAs (37 percent) for which non-border Stage 2 licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. 19 percent of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of March 31, 2009. The retuning of base stations to a licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of

<sup>11</sup> Cleared means that the licensee has retuned its base stations to its new channel assignments and commenced system operations on the new channels.

<sup>12</sup> There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.

system operations on the new channels is a significant milestone because it means that the main goal of the reconfiguration process – separating Public Safety and commercial cellular operations – has been achieved.

## **B. Recent Developments**

### **1. True-up Deadline**

In the *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the FCC extended the true-up deadline from December 26, 2008 until July 1, 2009. The FCC also directed the TA to file a report with the PSHSB by May 1, 2009 “with its recommendation (1) whether rebanding has made sufficient progress that conducting the true-up on July 1, 2009 would be appropriate, or (2) whether the true-up deadline should be extended for an additional period.”<sup>13</sup>

On May 1, 2009, the TA filed a report recommending that the true-up deadline be extended from July 1, 2009 until December 31, 2009. After noting that there has been an increase in the 800 MHz reconfiguration expenditures since December 2008, the TA stated that an effort to true-up the 800 MHz band reconfiguration expenditures as of July 1, 2009 would be premature. The TA believes that additional rebanding expenditures need to be made to provide a meaningful report of Sprint Nextel’s total rebanding costs for both 800 MHz rebanding and for the relocation of Broadcast Auxiliary Service (“BAS”) licensees. The TA proposed to file a report with the PSHSB by November 1, 2009 with its recommendation as to: (1) whether rebanding has made sufficient progress that conducting the true-up as of December 31, 2009, would be appropriate; or (2) whether the “true-up” deadline should be extended for an additional period.

### **2. Vacated Interleaved Band Channels and NPSPAC Clearing**

The FCC tasked the TA with monitoring the NPSPAC clearing process in its October 30, 2008 Order granting a waiver allowing Sprint Nextel to relinquish its 800 MHz Interleaved Band (809-815/854-860 MHz) spectrum in stages, tied to the rebanding progress of NPSPAC licensees in each NPSPAC region.<sup>14</sup> As noted in the FCC’s December 29, 2008 Public Notice, Sprint Nextel has cleared channels in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz band in non-border NPSPAC regions, and this spectrum was made available to Public Safety licensees, beginning on January 28, 2009. Providing additional 800 MHz spectrum for Public Safety agencies was one of the FCC’s paramount goals for the reconfiguration program, so this is a significant milestone for the program.<sup>15</sup>

---


<sup>13</sup> *Fourth MO&O* ¶ 12.

<sup>14</sup> See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands, *Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 15966 ¶ 18 (2008) (“*Interleaved Order*”).

<sup>15</sup> See *Report and Order* ¶ 2.

The TA is monitoring the clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band. The following map contains information about the status of clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band in each region.

**Map 1: NPSPAC Clearing Progress as of March 31, 2009**



Additional information is available in Appendix 2.

### **3. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports**

The FCC's *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* required Sprint Nextel to file monthly reports with the FCC and the TA on its clearing of Channels 1-120 spectrum.<sup>16</sup> During the first quarter, Sprint Nextel filed reports on January 1, February 2, and March 2, 2009. Sprint Nextel also filed a report on April 1, 2009, in which it reported that it has cleared all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC incumbent licensees from Channels 1-120 in 48 NPSPAC regions

<sup>16</sup> See *Third MO&O* ¶¶ 29-31. The reports must include the following information: (1) the number of licensees that have been cleared from Channels 1-120 and the number that remain to be cleared; (2) the number of Channels 1-120 frequencies being used by Sprint Nextel in its own network and the number it has vacated; and (3) information regarding requests by NPSPAC licensees for Sprint Nextel to vacate Channels 1-120 frequencies.

(up from 47 regions as of January 1, 2009) and that more than 98 percent of Stage 1 licensees have been retuned.<sup>17</sup> Sprint Nextel reported that of the eight remaining NPSPAC regions or U.S. Territories, five of these regions have only one Channels 1-120 licensee remaining to be retuned. For Stage 2, Sprint Nextel's April report noted that Stage 2 "continues to show strong progress."<sup>18</sup> It provided a list of 328 NPSPAC licensees (up from 298 licensees as of January 1, 2009) that have agreed upon a date with Sprint Nextel by which Sprint Nextel will clear Channels 1-120 and that have established with Sprint Nextel a firm date for starting and completing their physical retuning work and noted that many have finished their retunes.

#### **4. U.S.-Mexican Border Region**

The band plan for the U.S.-Mexico border region is still under development. Accordingly, the FCC issued a Public Notice on March 31, 2009, modifying the schedule for border area non-NPSPAC (Stage 1) and NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees in the U.S.-Mexico border region.<sup>19</sup> The FCC extended the negotiation period until July 1, 2009 and postponed the start of the mediation period until July 2, 2009 for such licensees. The FCC also extended the filing freeze on new applications in the U.S.-Mexico border region until August 12, 2009. Extending the negotiation period for these licensees is expected to alleviate administrative burdens on licensees, avoid unnecessary rebanding expenditures, and provide additional time for resolution of border issues and issuance of frequency designations by the TA.

During the extended negotiation periods, the FCC has encouraged Wave 4 licensees affected by the Mexican border region to engage in planning and negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.<sup>20</sup> If licensees choose to engage in such planning and negotiation activities, the FCC has stated that Sprint Nextel will pay licensees' reasonable costs in accordance with the requirements of the FCC's orders.<sup>21</sup> If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit a Request for Planning Funding ("RFPF") to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees may submit an RFPF prior to receiving replacement frequencies. As of March 31, 2009, 11 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$4.8 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Mexican border region.

---

<sup>17</sup> See Sprint Nextel Corporation, "Sprint Nextel's Status Report on 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 1 (filed Apr. 1, 2009).

<sup>18</sup> See *id.*

<sup>19</sup> See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiation Period for Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees Along the U.S.-Mexico Border," *Public Notice*, DA 09-736 (rel. Mar. 31, 2009).

<sup>20</sup> See *id.*

<sup>21</sup> See *id.* (citing *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* at 17232).

## II. QUARTERLY UPDATE

This section highlights the key areas within each wave and provides financial and support information about the program.

### A. Wave Update

This section presents further detail about the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation for licensees. The pace of progress in implementing reconfiguration of Stage 1 systems has a direct effect on the pace of reconfiguration for Stage 2 systems. Stage 1 licensees must be relocated off their frequencies in the lower 1-120 channel portion of the 800 MHz band, before Stage 2 NPSPAC licensees can move to their new frequencies in that portion of the 800 MHz band. This interdependency of reconfiguration progress between Stages 1 and 2 is consistent across all four waves.

#### 1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

This section presents information about Stage 1 non-border licensees. Information about Stage 1 Canadian border licensees appears in Section II.A.5.

##### a. Planning and Negotiations

Most Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs, but some negotiated Planning Funding Agreements (“PFAs”) with Sprint Nextel. As of March 31, 2009, 54 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$7.5 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 1 licensees.

The negotiation of Stage 1 Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements for non-border licensees is virtually completed. As of March 31, 2009, 912 FRAs (99 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 916 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$78.3 million. Appendix 1 provides additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for Channels 1-120 licensees.

In Stage 1, there was only one mediation docket (or “case”) pending as of March 31, 2009 out of the 487 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees. This mediation is in Wave 4.<sup>22</sup> The parties have reached agreement, but have not yet executed an FRA. As of March 31, 2009, 17 Stage 1 mediations (3.5 percent) had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, of which eight were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

##### b. Reconfiguration Implementation

As of March 31, 2009, Sprint Nextel reported that physical retuning is complete for 877 Stage 1 FRAs out of the 916 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1, which is 95.7 percent of non-border

---

<sup>22</sup> The remaining mediation dockets in Wave 4 include Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted in June 2006, resulting in the licensee’s negotiations and reconfiguration implementation being moved to Wave 4, Stage 1.

Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 95.4 percent as of December 31, 2008). Physical retuning is not complete for 39 non-border Stage 1 FRAs. There are certain Public Safety licensees in Stage 1 that have elected to retune their Stage 1 facilities along with their Stage 2 facilities.


As of March 31, 2009, 64.6 percent (unchanged from 64.6 percent as of December 31, 2008) of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs is because the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.<sup>23</sup> As of March 31, 2009, there were 31 NPSPAC regions that reported that 100 percent of the Channels 1-120 call signs were cleared.

The following map shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with significant progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by international borders.

---

<sup>23</sup> There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.

**Map 2: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2009<sup>24</sup>**



## 2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band)

This section presents information about Stage 2 non-border licensees. Information about Stage 2 Canadian border licensees appears in Section II.A.5.

### a. Planning and Negotiations

In Stage 2, nearly half of the licensees sought planning funding and negotiated PFAs. As of March 31, 2009, 426 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$72.2 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 2 licensees.

Progress continues to be made in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 2 licensees. During the first quarter of 2009, parties completed negotiations on and submitted to the TA an additional

<sup>24</sup> The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

24 Stage 2 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of March 31, 2009, 750 FRAs (86 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 876 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 licensees in all waves. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$456.0 million.

**Table 1: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) Licensees as of March 31, 2009 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)<sup>25</sup>**

Wave	Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs*	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
<b>Wave 1</b>	362	304	298	82%	148	41%	58	56	15%
<b>Wave 2</b>	230	210	210	91%	107	47%	54	52	23%
<b>Wave 3</b>	237	195	192	81%	51	22%	15	15	6%
<b>Wave 4</b>	47	41	38	81%	15	32%	7	7	15%
<b>Total</b>	876	750	738	84%	321	37%	134	130	15%

\* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

The remaining licensees without FRAs are either: (1) in the planning process, in which they are conducting planning activities pursuant to a PFA and working on preparing a cost estimate for their reconfiguration; or (2) in the process of negotiating an FRA with Sprint Nextel. Some of these licensees have reached verbal agreement on, but have not yet executed, an FRA.

The table below provides Stage 2 status information in terms of the number of call signs that have achieved particular milestones.

<sup>25</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count. Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.

**Table 2: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) as of March 31, 2009 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)**

Wave	Stage 2 Call Signs *	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported as Cleared by Licensee	% Reported as Cleared by Licensee	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
<b>Wave 1</b>	2198	1644	1618	74%	639	29%	27	25	1%
<b>Wave 2</b>	949	590	460	48%	283	30%	18	18	2%
<b>Wave 3</b>	1162	522	516	44%	210	18%	12	12	1%
<b>Wave 4</b>	1881	223	214	12%	41	2%	8	8	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6190</b>	<b>2979</b>	<b>2808</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>1173</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1%</b>

\* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

The mediation process has proven successful in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. As of March 31, 2009, only 52 Stage 2 mediations (5.7 percent) had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, of which thirteen were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

(i) **Wave 1**

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 362 FRAs expected to be negotiated between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies. As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2009, approximately 82 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. As of March 31, 2009, of the 337 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 288 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 279 resolved as of December 31, 2008). The parties in 37 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 13 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. The parties in two other mediations in which the licensee did not have a PFA had also reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Eight mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

(ii) **Wave 2**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2009, approximately 91 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2009, of the 233 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, 211 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 209 resolved as of December 31, 2008). The parties in 19 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; two of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Two mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review. The parties in one mediation docket were in the process of negotiating an FRA following *de novo* review by the FCC.

(iii) **Wave 3**

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request.<sup>26</sup> As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2009, approximately 81 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2009, of the 272 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 218 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 209 resolved as of December 31, 2008).<sup>27</sup> The parties in 47 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 13 had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Four mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

(iv) **Wave 4**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2009, approximately 81 percent of the non-border area FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. The expected FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico are not included in the FRA statistics for Wave 4.

The Wave 4, Stage 2 mediation period for non-border area licensees began on August 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2009, of the 66 mediation dockets opened for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees, 58 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 55 resolved as of December 31, 2008). The parties in 7 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; two had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

---

<sup>26</sup> Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

<sup>27</sup> The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.

b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

Stage 2 licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities, but much work remains to be done in the implementation phase. A number of NPSPAC licensees are moving forward with and completing their implementation activities and are helping to define an implementation framework that can serve as a model for other NPSPAC licensees.

(i) **Implementation Planning Sessions**

One of the TA's methods for facilitating implementation activities has been to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions ("IPS" or "sessions"). These sessions bring together NPSPAC and interoperable Expansion Band licensees, their equipment vendors, technical consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. The FCC has stated that NPSPAC licensees "are expected to participate" in an IPS.<sup>28</sup>

During the sessions, the TA works with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities. This is particularly important because many FRAs for NPSPAC licensees do not contain firm implementation dates. The sessions help licensees adjust implementation schedules to deal with complicated interconnections and interdependencies of shared networks – particularly regarding setting dates for retuning mutual aid channels used by neighboring municipalities. IPS have also been successful in enabling parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels.

During the first quarter, the TA conducted a third session in Region 9: Florida and held two IPS in Region 18: Louisiana. As of March 31, 2009, the TA had conducted 32 IPS in 24 NPSPAC regions that were attended by 445 licensees operating 1,061,350 radios.<sup>29</sup> The TA will continue to hold sessions for all waves and follow-up sessions when needed. The TA encourages licensees to attend and participate in IPS in their region. Licensees that have participated in an IPS should continue to move forward with and complete their implementation activities in accordance with the regional schedule developed at the IPS.

(ii) **Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment**

A key component of reconfiguration implementation is the retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment. As of March 31, 2009, Stage 2 licensees had retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 473,000 radios (first touches), which is an increase from approximately 354,000 radios as of December 31, 2008.

---

<sup>28</sup> See September 12, 2007 Public Notice at 17230.

<sup>29</sup> The TA conducted 15 IPS in Wave 1 NPSPAC regions attended by 162 licensees, 8 IPS in Wave 2 NPSPAC regions attended by 121 licensees, and 9 IPS in Wave 3 NPSPAC regions attended by 162 licensees. The TA is currently gathering and analyzing information to assess future IPS for Wave 4.


(iii) **Infrastructure Retuning and System Cutover**

Another significant milestone in the implementation process is the retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels. During the first quarter of 2009, there were an additional 30 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees completed their physical retunes, which is the same number that completed physical retunes during the fourth quarter of 2008. Of the 876 non-border FRAs expected for Stage 2, there were 321 FRAs as of March 31, 2009 (an increase from 291 FRAs as of December 31, 2009) for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. This does not consider licensees with post-cutover subscriber unit second touches or other technical work. As of March 31, 2009, physical reconfiguration was complete for 37 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs (an increase from 34 percent as of December 31, 2008). The TA continues to monitor the pace of implementation.

As of March 31, 2009, 19 percent (up from 15.2 percent as of December 31, 2008) of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, which includes call signs in the border areas.

The following map graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of March 31, 2009, by licensed NPSPAC locations. Progress on Expansion Band clearing is detailed in Appendix 2.

**Map 3: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2009**



### 3. Implementation Schedule Implications

The TA has identified below certain regions where one or more key licensees and Sprint Nextel have not yet reached agreement on an FRA, and where the resulting delay is impeding program progress and delaying implementation by other licensees within their region and, in some cases, outside their region due to interoperability and dependencies between licensees. In regions with extensive interoperability, licensees with FRAs are waiting for the trailing licensees to complete FRA negotiations so that all licensees in the region can coordinate their implementation schedules in order to preserve interoperability and mutual aid operations. The TA encourages all parties to work to complete the steps necessary to allow appropriate dialogue on proposed costs and to reach agreement.

#### a. Wave 1

- Region 6: Northern California
- Region 8: Metropolitan, NYC Area (New York, New Jersey, & Connecticut)

- Region 14: Indiana
- Region 19: New England
- Region 20: District of Columbia, Maryland, & Northern Virginia
- Region 28: Eastern Pennsylvania (east of Harrisburg, southern New Jersey & Delaware)
- Region 42: Virginia
- Region 54: Southern Lake Michigan (Great Lakes including Wisconsin, Illinois, & Indiana)

In addition, a delay in the clearing of Channels 1-120 by one licensee in Region 19 has delayed the implementation of at least three other licensees.

b. **Wave 2**

- Region 16: Kansas
- Region 24: Missouri
- Region 34: Oklahoma
- Region 39: Tennessee
- Region 51: Texas – East (Houston Area)

In addition, ongoing negotiations regarding a mutual aid system in Region 24 are delaying implementation progress for ten licensees in Regions 16 and 24.

c. **Wave 3**

- Region 1: Alabama
- Region 9: Florida
- Region 10: Georgia
- Region 18: Louisiana
- Region 23: Mississippi
- Region 31: North Carolina
- Region 37: South Carolina

#### **4. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline**

In response to the FCC's January 17, 2008 Public Notice<sup>30</sup> directing non-border licensees that require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding to request a waiver of the deadline, 729 requests for waiver have been filed with the PSHSB as of March 31, 2009. The TA has created a webpage that provides information on the requests for waiver received by the TA. The link to this page can be found on the TA's website at [http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/waiver\\_requests.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/waiver_requests.asp).

Licensees that were unable to propose a specific implementation timetable because they had not completed FRA negotiations as of the filing deadlines filed requests for "interim" waiver. In its June 17, 2008 and July 14, 2008 Orders, the PSHSB generally granted the interim requests, conditioned on the licensee filing a supplemental waiver request.<sup>31</sup> Many licensees filed supplemental requests for waiver, and the PSHSB addressed them in a June 9, 2009 Order.<sup>32</sup>

The remaining licensees have an executed FRA and filed "standard" requests for waiver requesting various dates for completing their reconfiguration implementation, ranging from a few months to a few years beyond June 2008. For the standard requests for waiver, the PSHSB granted a specific waiver date to each licensee.<sup>33</sup> Licensees are expected to complete their reconfiguration activities in accordance with the implementation schedule proposed in their request for waiver, and any changes to this schedule should be communicated to the FCC and the TA. Non-border licensees whose reconfiguration will not be complete by their waiver date previously granted by the PSHSB must request additional time from the PSHSB by filing a further request for waiver. Pursuant to the PSHSB's June 9, 2009 Order and June 10, 2009 Public Notice, many non-border licensees are required to file a "supplemental" request for waiver within 30 days of release of the Order (*i.e.*, by July 9, 2009). In addition, licensees that were granted waivers in the June 9, 2009 Order to complete rebanding on or before October 1, 2009 must either complete rebanding by then or submit a further request for waiver.

The table below contains statistics about the requests for waiver.

---

<sup>30</sup> See "Federal Communications Commission Provides Guidance for Submission of Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 664 (2008).

<sup>31</sup> See, *e.g.*, Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Requests for Interim Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline – Wave 1 Public Safety Regions, *Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 9421 (2008).

<sup>32</sup> See *June 2009 Supplemental Waiver Order*.

<sup>33</sup> See, *e.g.*, *Wave 1 Waiver Order*.

**Table 3: Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Deadline, as of March 31, 2009**

Wave	Total Number of Requests <sup>34</sup>	Interim	Interim Supplemental <sup>35</sup>	Standard	Standard Supplemental
1	248	75	25	173	43
2	159	65	32	94	10
3	269	122	64	147	31
4	53	21	11	32	6
<b>Total Requests</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>90</b>

## 5. Canadian Border Regions

The 30-month transition period for reconfiguration of licensees in the U.S.-Canada border regions began on October 14, 2008 and will end on April 14, 2011.<sup>36</sup> The TA's Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions ("Implementation Plan") established two stages for the reconfiguration of Canadian border licensees.<sup>37</sup> Stage 1 includes non-Public Safety licensees, such as B/ILT and SMR licensees. Stage 2 is comprised of both NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety licensees.

Based on an evaluation of FCC Registration Numbers ("FRNs") in the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, there are 334 Canadian border region licensees that are subject to reconfiguration. This will generally translate to a smaller number of FRAs as several licensees have multiple FRNs, multiple licensees may join into a single FRA, and certain non-Public Safety licensees may be excluded based on frequency planning results. Of the 334 identified FRNs, 160 are related to Stage 1 (non-Public Safety) licensees and 174 are related to Stage 2 (Public Safety) licensees.

The TA continued its efforts to support Canadian border region reconfiguration during the first quarter by conducting frequency planning to determine replacement frequencies, providing Frequency Proposal Reports to licensees, publishing additional guidance for licensees on its website, and using the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in negotiating PFAs and FRAs.

<sup>34</sup> This column is a total of Interim and Standard Requests for Waiver, but does not include Supplemental filings.

<sup>35</sup> Supplemental filings for Interim or Standard Requests for Waiver are made by licensees to update requested dates or to provide additional information regarding their original Request for Waiver filing.

<sup>36</sup> See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 30-Month Transition Period for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in Regions Along the U.S.-Canada Border will Commence on October 14, 2008," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 14877 (2008) ("Canadian Border Public Notice").

<sup>37</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Oct. 1, 2008), available at [http://www.800TA.org/content/PDF/other/Implementation\\_Plan\\_and\\_Timetable\\_CBR.pdf](http://www.800TA.org/content/PDF/other/Implementation_Plan_and_Timetable_CBR.pdf), approved by Canadian Border Public Notice.

a. **Frequency Proposals**

Licensees in the Canadian border regions, and licensees adjacent to the border regions that did not previously receive replacement frequencies, have now received Frequency Proposal Reports from the TA that identify their frequencies designated for reconfiguration and detail their proposed replacement frequencies. The TA mailed FPRs to Stage 1 licensees in October 2008. To identify the most appropriate replacement frequencies for Stage 2 licensees, the TA coordinated information gathering and planning with the 800 MHz NPSPAC Regional Planning Committees, Public Safety licensees, and Sprint Nextel. The TA began mailing FPRs to Stage 2 licensees in November 2008 and provided FPRs to the remaining Stage 2 licensees in the first quarter of 2009.


In the first quarter of 2009, the TA analyzed and proposed 11,764 replacement frequencies for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees. The TA sent FPRs for a total of 1,021 call signs representing 116 licensees during this period.

The maps below show the locations and frequency density of Stages 1 and 2 licensees in the Canadian border regions.<sup>38</sup>


---

<sup>38</sup> Frequency density defines the number of distinct reconfiguring base station frequencies at the noted location. Locations and frequency counts are based on FCC ULS data.

**Map 4: Canadian Border Region Stage 1 Frequency Locations and Density**



**Map 5: Canadian Border Region Stage 2 Frequency Locations and Density**



**b. Planning**

Stage 1 or 2 licensees seeking planning funding were required to submit a Request for Planning Funding by the October 14, 2008 deadline established by the FCC and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.<sup>39</sup> Licensees submitting RFPFs that did not have a PFA by October 14, 2008 were placed into an expedited negotiation and mediation process pursuant to procedures set forth in the FCC's *Second Report and Order* and in the TA's Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Plan.<sup>40</sup> After submitting an RFPF, a licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate a PFA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on a PFA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

<sup>39</sup> Licensees that submit an RFPF after October 14, 2008 must request an extension of this deadline from the FCC.

<sup>40</sup> See *Second Report and Order* ¶ 40; see also "Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (Version 1.6)," at 20-21, attached to Letter from Joseph P. Markoski, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 23, 2008) ("*ADR Plan*").

As of March 31, 2009, 56 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$10 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Canadian border regions.

After reaching agreement on a PFA, licensees and their vendors conduct planning activities and prepare a cost estimate for their reconfiguration. Licensees are required to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel within 90 to 110 days, depending on the number of subscriber units in their system, of the *later* of TA approval of the PFA or receipt of an FPR. If a licensee needs additional time to complete planning, it should request an extension from the PSHSB.

Once a licensee's planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will have 30 days for FRA negotiations. If they are unable to negotiate an FRA within the 30-day period, the parties will actively participate in mediation for 20 days.

c. **Stage 1**

Most Canadian border Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs, but there are five Stage 1 licensees that have negotiated PFAs and are currently in the planning process.

For Stage 1 licensees that do not require a PFA, the TA's Implementation Plan designated October 14, 2008 as the official planning start date. Such licensees were required to submit a cost estimate for their reconfiguration to Sprint Nextel within 90, 100, or 110 days, depending on the number of subscriber units in their system. The majority of Stage 1 licensees have 5,000 subscriber units or less, so they were required to submit a cost estimate by January 12, 2009.

The expedited negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees without a PFA began on January 12, 2009. After submitting a cost estimate, a licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate an FRA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

As of March 31, 2009, the TA opened a total of 127 mediation dockets for Stage 1 licensees. As of March 31, 2009, 46 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 5 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning. The parties in 37 mediations had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. No mediation dockets were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

As of March 31, 2009, 21 FRAs, with a total contract value of \$0.7 million, were submitted to the TA for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees.

d. **Stage 2**

Approximately half of the Canadian border Stage 2 licensees submitted an RFPF and have negotiated or are still negotiating a PFA.

As of March 31, 2009, the TA opened a total of 83 mediation dockets for Stage 2 licensees. As of March 31, 2009, the parties in 55 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning. The parties in six mediations had reached agreement but had not yet executed PFAs. No mediation dockets were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

For Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA, the TA's Implementation Plan designated January 15, 2009 as the official planning start date. Such licensees have 90, 100, or 110 days from this date to complete planning and submit a cost estimate, depending upon the number of subscriber units in their system. If a licensee did not receive its proposed replacement frequencies by January 15, 2009, its cost estimate should be submitted to Sprint Nextel 90, 100, or 110 days from the date of receipt of those frequencies, depending upon the number of subscriber units in the system.

The expedited negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees without a PFA began on April 15, 2009. After submitting a cost estimate, a licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate an FRA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

As of March 31, 2009, one FRA, with a total contract value of \$0.9 million, was submitted to the TA for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees.

**B. Program Financial Information**

This section provides information for the first quarter of 2009 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, letter of credit, the external audit, the TA's fees and expenses, and reconfiguration Completion Certifications.

**1. Reconfiguration Expenditures**

a. **800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs**

As of March 31, 2009, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates totaling approximately \$598.0 million, and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$296.7 million of this amount as advance payments and for work completed to date. This compares to \$559.8 million in executed FRAs and PFAs and \$261.9 million paid as of December 31, 2008.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$834.2 million (through March 31, 2009) in costs for: (1) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (2) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the

development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively “800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs”). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$330.3 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of December 31, 2008) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against the payment Sprint Nextel will make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration (“Creditable Costs”). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$282.0 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$48.3 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel or incumbents to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$504 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. The \$504 million consists primarily of advance payments of equipment and such costs are not submitted until such time as the equipment is attributed to a particular licensee and that licensee completes their reconfiguration and cost reconciliation.

**b. Sprint Nextel Costs**

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$257.4 million (through March 31, 2009) in costs to support: (1) incumbent licensee negotiations; (2) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (3) Sprint Nextel’s program and financial management activities; (4) other program costs; and (5) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel’s systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively “Sprint Nextel Costs”). The Sprint Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel’s internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date (“To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs”). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$229.6 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of December 31, 2008) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$65.8 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$163.8 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$27.8 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

c. **1.9 GHz Clearing Costs**

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it has incurred approximately \$610.3 million in costs (through March 31, 2009) associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band. These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct a review of these costs.

d. **Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures**

On May 8, 2009, Sprint Nextel filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009 and disclosed that from the inception of the program through March 31, 2009, Sprint Nextel has incurred approximately \$1.977 billion of costs directly attributable to the spectrum reconfiguration program.<sup>41</sup>

**2. Letter of Credit**

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Sprint Nextel made all its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letter of Credit through March 31, 2009. Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$76.6 million (through March 31, 2009) in Letter of Credit Fees.

During the first quarter, Sprint Nextel worked with the Letter of Credit Issuing Banks to extend its Letter of Credit for 800 MHz band reconfiguration, which was set to expire on July 26, 2009. On April 27, 2009, Sprint Nextel informed the FCC that it extended the term of its Letter of Credit through December 10, 2010 and filed a copy of the revised Letter of Credit with the FCC in WT Docket No. 02-55.<sup>42</sup>

The TA reviewed the \$1.971 billion Letter of Credit in view of the programmatic obligations satisfied through December 31, 2008 by Sprint Nextel. On May 29, 2009, the TA recommended that the Letter of Credit be reduced by \$105 million based on costs paid through September 30, 2008. The FCC concurred with the TA’s request on June 18, 2009, and the Letter of Credit was reduced from \$1.971 billion to \$1.866 billion.

**3. External Audit**

In the first quarter of 2009, Reznick Group, the external auditors selected by the TA, continued the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2008 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2008 (“the 2008 Audit”).

---

<sup>41</sup> See Sprint Nextel Corporation, Quarterly Report (Form 10-Q), at 12 (filed May 8, 2009), available at <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000119312509105017/d10q.htm>.

<sup>42</sup> See Sprint Nextel Corporation, “Extension of Irrevocable Letter of Credit for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration,” WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Apr. 27, 2009).

#### **4. Transition Administrator**

##### **a. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing**

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 were \$9.0 million in fees and \$0.1 million in expenses, for a total of approximately \$9.1 million, which is approximately \$0.6 million greater than the forecast for the fourth quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 9. TA staffing as of March 31, 2009 consisted of 69 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending June 30, 2009 are estimated at \$9.5 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$9.7 million.

##### **b. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees**

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint did not receive from Sprint Nextel any non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.<sup>43</sup>

#### **5. Review and Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications**

During the first quarter of 2009, an additional 20 PFA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 149.<sup>44</sup> Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 148 PFAs as complete, with the remaining one under review as of March 31, 2009. Appendix 8 contains a summary of PFAs that have closed as of March 31, 2009.

During the first quarter of 2009, an additional 45 FRA Completion Certifications<sup>45</sup> were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 885.<sup>46</sup> Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 869 FRAs as complete, with the remaining 16 under review as of March 31, 2009. Appendix 8 contains a summary of FRAs that have closed as of March 31, 2009.

These completion certifications were filed as part of the closing process once all planning or reconfiguration implementation activities were completed. The TA has reviewed the amounts expended on planning activities and reconfiguration implementation activities covered by these PFAs and FRAs and concurs with the identified remaining payments due incumbent licensees or refunds due Sprint Nextel, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audit.

---

<sup>43</sup> See "Independence Management Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.2)," at 5, attached to Letter from Joseph P. Markoski, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Aug. 23, 2005).

<sup>44</sup> Of these, 19 were for Stage 1 licensees and 130 were for Stage 2 licensees

<sup>45</sup> From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

<sup>46</sup> Of these, 751 were for Stage 1 licensees and 134 were for Stage 2 licensees.

Sprint Nextel has provided the TA with the following data which describes the status of deals, in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process as of March 31, 2009.

**Table 4: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)<sup>47</sup>**

Status of FRAs	Number
<b>Closed FRAs</b>	<b>869</b>
<b>FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>FRAs Pending in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process:</b>	
Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates	14
Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Incumbent Licensees	24
Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates	21
<b>Total FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>FRAs Pending in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process:</b>	
Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement	20
Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts and Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement	92
Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter	18
<b>Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes:</b>	
Finalization of either certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	5
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation and either Finalization of certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	22
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation, Requisite Regulatory Filings, and certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities	97
<b>Total FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete</b>	<b>1,198</b>

The TA has observed significant lags between completing physical reconfiguration and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing Completion Certifications through Sprint Nextel and the TA. For the closed FRAs (including those pending TA review), the average elapsed time between the completion of the physical reconfiguration and completion of the closing process was 8.5 months as of March 31, 2009 (compared to 8.0 months for the period ending December 31, 2008). For FRAs that have not yet closed, the average outstanding elapsed time from completion of the physical reconfiguration as of March 31, 2009 is 15.2 months (compared to 14.0 months as of December 31, 2008).

As of March 31, 2009, the major area of delay was the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process which accounts for 42 percent of the FRAs that have completed the physical reconfiguration but are not yet closed. Of the 130 FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process, 114 (88 percent) of the FRAs have been in this same stage for three months or longer. The status of deals, in terms of numbers and percentage of FRAs outstanding in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage is listed in the table below.

<sup>47</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

**Table 5: Status of Outstanding FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage (after completion of physical reconfiguration but prior to closing)<sup>48</sup>**

Outstanding for	Number of FRAs	Percentage of the Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process
Less than 3 Months	16	12%
3 to 6 Months	20	16%
6 to 9 Months	21	16%
9 to 12 Months	14	11%
12 Months or Longer	59	45%

The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation under an FRA once the physical reconfiguration was completed continues to be impacted by delays in processing Change Notices by the incumbent licensee and Sprint Nextel. Another factor is delays in incumbent licensees submitting to Sprint Nextel complete, accurate, and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation to substantiate Actual Costs incurred. Sprint Nextel delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process also have contributed to the overall time lag between completion of physical reconfiguration and closing. The TA encourages licensees and Sprint Nextel to strive to complete these activities in a more timely manner. To minimize the effort and times associated with the closing process, incumbent licensees are strongly encouraged to review the following TA guidance, *Change Notice Process Fact Sheet*, *Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet*, and *Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy*, which are available on the TA's website at [www.800TA.org](http://www.800TA.org). In addition, the TA has developed an Actual Cost Reconciliation Webinar to assist licensees in understanding of the process from start to finish. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

### **C. Specialized Support Functions**

This section outlines additional information about TA activities that support the program, such as the Contact Center, the website, outreach, and FRA review.

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes, and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance ("Stakeholder Outreach"), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

#### **1. Stakeholder Inquiries**

The TA maintains a "Contact Center" to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA's activities. The TA receives inquiries from a

<sup>48</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the first quarter, the TA received a total of 5,251 inquiries to the Contact Center (1,550 in January 2009; 1,686 in February 2009; and 2,015 in March 2009). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their systems.

## **2. TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website**

During the first quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA's website ([www.800TA.org](http://www.800TA.org)).

- **TA Website Reorganization and Redesign** – In the first quarter, the TA began redesigning its website in order to reallocate and redistribute collateral and guidance. The purpose of this initiative was to respond to licensees' feedback and address the growing need to reestablish the website as a valuable, comprehensive tool for obtaining resources and guidance for multiple aspects of reconfiguration.
- **Canadian Border Region** – Refined and updated a section on the TA's website containing information about reconfiguration in the Canadian Border Region, including a section dedicated to the latest news and upcoming dates and submission deadlines for Canadian Border Region licensees.
- **Cost Metrics** – The Planning Funding Cost Metrics and the FRA Cost Metrics were updated to include data through December 31, 2008.
- **Forms and Fact Sheets** – Created, posted, and updated several documents, including the Change Notice Process Fact Sheet, Special Temporary Authorizations (STA) Fact Sheet, and TA Application Review Guidance (for permanent licensing of new facilities in the new NPSPAC band (806-809/851-854 MHz) in non-border regions).
- **Frequently Asked Questions ("FAQs")** – The Actual Cost Reconciliation, Change Notice, and TA Review Rights FAQs on the TA's website was updated to continue to provide the most up-to-date guidance.
- **Mail Campaigns** – Targeted mailings were sent to licensees, including Expectations letters, Canadian Border Region Exclusion Letters, and letters to Canadian Border Region licensees regarding cost estimate deadlines and the negotiation and mediation process.
- **TA Alerts** – TA Alerts are the TA's listserv feature, which allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news. TA Alerts are sent when changes or updates are made to the website, when the FCC releases an Order or Public Notice, and/or when the TA has an announcement. There are 340 active subscribers for TA Alerts to date. In the first quarter, the TA sent eight TA Alerts.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

During the first quarter, the TA also submitted written articles to various Public Safety communications publications as another medium to communicate with licensees and to educate those involved in the rebanding process.

The TA's website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. During the first quarter, updates were made to the TA's website to post and advertise new and updated TA-produced materials, guidance and outreach on upcoming deadlines for border region licensees, and to post copies of FCC Public Notices and Orders. The TA's website received an estimated 6,798 visits during the first quarter.<sup>49</sup>

### **3. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training**

#### **a. Webinars**

The TA has continued to conduct Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. In the first quarter, the TA offered Webinars on the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process, Preparing for an Implementation Planning Session, and the TA Review Rights Process (available specifically for licensees selected for the process). In the second quarter of 2009, the TA is scheduled to offer additional Webinars on these topics, as well as Webinars on reconfiguration completion.

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect.

#### **b. Licensee Outreach Campaigns**

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, the TA continued its communication and outreach efforts this quarter. The TA followed the mailing of Frequency Proposal Reports to Canadian border region licensees with a reminder mailing sent to affected licensees stressing the importance of filing their cost estimate in accordance with deadlines.

### **4. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review**

The TA continues to meet its service-level goals for reviewing FRAs.<sup>50</sup> Detailed information about the time required by the TA to review and approve Stages 1 and 2 FRAs submitted to the TA is available in Appendices 6 and 7.

---

<sup>49</sup> The TA has adopted "visits" instead of "hits" as a more accurate metric to utilize in identifying website traffic.

<sup>50</sup> The TA's service-level targets for FRA review are review of 80 percent of FRAs within 5 business days, 95 percent within 10 business days, and 100 percent within 15 business days.

### **III. APPENDICES**

Appendix 1

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	354	354	354	354	349
Multiregion	94	94	94	94	92
6	36	36	36	36	36
7	12	12	12	12	12
8	34	34	34	34	34
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	9	9	9	9	9
19	15	15	15	15	15
20	18	18	18	18	16
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	24	24	24
35	14	14	14	14	14
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	16	16	16	16	15
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	19	19	19	19	19
Wave 2	205	205	205	205	205
Multiregion	62	62	62	62	62
4	8	8	8	8	8
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	10	10	10	10	10
22	28	28	28	28	28
24	13	13	13	13	13
25	5	5	5	5	5
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	23	23	23	23	23
40	12	12	12	12	12
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	8	8	8	8	8
52	8	8	8	8	8

# Appendix 1

## Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	243	242	240	240	222
Multiregion	70	69	69	69	63
1	13	13	13	13	13
9	59	59	59	59	49
10	36	36	36	36	35
18	14	14	14	14	14
23	14	14	14	14	13
31	22	22	22	22	22
37	5	5	5	5	5
47	7	7	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3
Wave 4	114	113	113	112	101
Multiregion	23	23	23	23	21
2	4	4	4	4	4
3	22	22	22	22	22
5	2	2	2	2	2
10	1	1	1	1	1
18	14	14	14	13	5
21	1	1	1	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	0
29	7	7	7	7	7
30	5	5	5	5	5
33	7	7	7	7	7
36	4	4	4	4	4
43	5	5	5	5	5
50	6	6	6	6	6
53	6	6	6	6	6
54	4	4	4	4	4
55	0	0	0	0	0
63	2	1	1	1	1
TOTAL	916	914	912	911	877

\* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	793	786	786	781	778	750	750	768	764	753	715
6	CA - North	107	107	107	107	107	106	106	107	107	106	104
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	94
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	40	40	37	37
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	75	68	68	63	60	49	49	55	55	49	49
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	66	66	66	61	61	61	60	60	54
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	63
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	43
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	44	44	52	49	48	36
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Wave 2	Subtotal	478	466	467	462	458	435	435	457	457	449	443
4	Arkansas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	33	33	33	33
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	16	16	16	15
22	Minnesota*	78	71	71	70	70	68	68	70	70	70	70
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
25	Montana*	19	16	18	18	16	11	11	16	16	16	14
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10
32	North Dakota*	10	9	8	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	39	37
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	8	8
51	TX - Houston	42	42	42	42	42	39	39	42	42	41	40
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	33	42	42	42	42

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>368</b>
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	6
9	Florida	221	219	219	219	219	201	201	214	120	166	162
10	Georgia	50	50	50	50	50	41	41	49	49	46	32
18	Louisiana	79	79	78	77	75	53	53	56	55	53	52
23	Mississippi	22	22	22	22	22	21	21	22	19	21	19
31	North Carolina	67	67	67	67	67	50	50	67	67	64	46
37	South Carolina	37	37	37	37	37	22	22	37	37	36	20
47	Puerto Rico	66	66	20	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
48	US Virgin Islands	23	23	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>866</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>155</b>
2	Alaska*	23	7	7	7	6	3	3	6	6	6	6
3	Arizona*	74	53	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	48
5	CA - South*	138	20	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
21	Michigan*	55	17	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	New Mexico*	25	22	22	22	22	21	21	22	22	22	22
30	NY - Albany*	90	34	27	18	17	17	17	17	13	13	13
33	Ohio*	103	50	21	18	18	17	17	17	17	17	14
36	Pennsylvania*	11	9	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
43	Washington*	147	92	25	22	21	18	18	20	20	17	17
50	TX - El Paso*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	8	8
54	MI portion of Chicago*	7	7	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
55	New York - Buffalo*	167	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>2711</b>	<b>2167</b>	<b>1976</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>1924</b>	<b>1783</b>	<b>1783</b>	<b>1886</b>	<b>1779</b>	<b>1786</b>	<b>1681</b>

Notes:

\* Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.

c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.

d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.

e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.


f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

## 800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

### 851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) Site-specific Call Signs

Percent of Call Signs Reported by Sprint Nextel as Cleared by Licensee,  
By Public Safety Region - as of 03/31/09 (Q1'09 / Q4'08)

- Wave 1, 15 Regions
- Wave 2, 19 Regions
- Wave 3, 9 Regions
- Wave 4, 12 Regions



The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	291	290	235	228	227	174	172	117	90	88	38
6	CA - North	88	87	87	87	86	58	58	10	10	13	7
7	Colorado	15	15	15	15	15	15	14	15	12	12	1
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	26	26	26	25	25	25	24	5	5	7	5
11	Hawaii	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
13	Illinois	12	12	11	11	11	2	2	10	10	2	0
14	Indiana	34	34	12	12	12	10	10	12	10	9	5
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	23	23	8	8	8	4	4	4	4	4	3
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	5	5	5	4	4	1	1	3	3	1	1
27	Nevada	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	9	9	8	5	5	3	3	2	2	2	2
35	Oregon	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
41	Utah	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1
42	Virginia	23	23	15	15	15	11	11	12	8	6	1
45	Wisconsin	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	3	3	0
54	Chicago	32	32	24	22	22	22	22	21	17	17	8
Wave 2	Subtotal	178	177	170	162	124	85	85	97	83	62	32
4	Arkansas	45	45	45	45	7	5	5	7	7	5	5
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	8	8	13	7	7	2
16	Kansas	6	6	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
17	Kentucky	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	12	12	11	10
22	Minnesota*	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	6
24	Missouri	11	11	11	10	10	6	6	7	5	5	2
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	1
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	30	30	26	23	23	22	22	21	20	13	4
40	TX - Dallas	21	21	21	21	21	8	8	14	12	2	0
44	West Virginia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
46	Wyoming	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
49	TX - Austin	9	9	9	9	9	6	6	3	1	1	1
51	TX - Houston	6	5	4	4	4	1	1	2	2	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22</b>
1	Alabama	29	28	18	18	18	9	8	9	9	8	5
9	Florida	60	60	36	32	31	19	19	23	21	13	5
10	Georgia	28	27	27	19	19	13	13	13	12	9	3
18	Louisiana	15	15	11	10	9	4	4	6	5	2	0
23	Mississippi	17	17	16	16	16	9	9	13	10	8	3
31	North Carolina	23	23	21	21	21	8	8	10	7	6	4
37	South Carolina	23	22	22	10	10	5	5	7	7	3	2
47	Puerto Rico	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
3	Arizona*	20	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0
5	CA - South*	98	39	24	24	24	6	6	0	0	1	1
21	Michigan*	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	4	4	4	4	3	0	0	1	1	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	62	62	62	62	62	61	61	0	0	1	1
33	Ohio*	35	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	9	8	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	5
43	Washington*	17	13	4	4	4	3	3	4	2	3	2
50	TX - El Paso*	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	6	6	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
55	New York - Buffalo*	15	15	15	14	14	14	14	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>954</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>103</b>

Notes:

\* Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.

c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.

d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.

e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.

f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.


## 800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

### Expansion Band Site-specific Call Signs

### Clearing Progress by Public Safety Region and Call Sign location as of 03/31/09 (Q1 2009)

#### Expansion Band clearing progress

- FRA approved but incumbent has not reported as cleared
- Incumbent has reported as cleared but FRA not closed
- Reconfigured (FRA closed)
- Active EB license with no signed FRA
- Elected to stay/Canceled or assigned to Nextel without FRA
- ▲ Towers represent a licensed Expansion Band frequency location



Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	1907	1809	1482	1416	1391	858	854	812	549	377	371
6	CA - North	136	122	115	113	110	33	32	36	30	28	27
7	Colorado	225	224	224	224	224	216	214	224	220	209	209
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	386	384	352	335	335	301	300	53	24	45	44
11	Hawaii	28	28	28	28	28	25	25	28	13	13	13
13	Illinois	142	136	135	118	118	13	13	117	116	6	2
14	Indiana	141	133	16	16	16	12	12	14	10	8	8
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	131	118	57	57	57	23	23	32	26	19	19
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	92	72	51	46	43	0	0	5	5	0	0
27	Nevada	42	36	36	34	31	8	8	20	1	2	2
28	NJ, PA, DE	219	197	160	152	138	20	20	21	14	18	18
35	Oregon	38	38	38	37	37	20	20	22	11	4	4
41	Utah	147	146	146	146	146	144	144	146	2	3	3
42	Virginia	46	42	26	26	26	12	12	14	8	6	6
45	Wisconsin	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	1	1
54	Chicago	131	130	95	81	79	29	29	77	67	15	15
Wave 2	Subtotal	771	670	630	428	336	176	176	241	200	140	136
4	Arkansas	95	95	95	95	4	2	2	4	4	1	1
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	7	6	6	6	6	4	4	6	5	4	4
16	Kansas	285	198	189	17	17	15	15	15	13	13	13
17	Kentucky	16	16	12	12	12	7	7	8	8	7	7
22	Minnesota*	50	50	50	50	50	41	41	50	50	40	40
24	Missouri	19	19	19	16	16	13	13	13	9	12	11
25	Montana*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	31	30	30	30	30	21	21	29	17	20	20
32	North Dakota*	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	38	35	20	20	20	3	3	3	3	3	3
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	56	56	49	22	22	14	14	17	16	13	13
40	TX - Dallas	45	43	43	43	42	21	21	29	25	9	9
44	West Virginia	9	9	8	8	8	5	5	5	2	1	1
46	Wyoming	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	5	4	4
49	TX - Austin	67	65	65	65	65	9	9	37	31	2	1
51	TX - Houston	42	38	35	35	35	14	14	17	12	10	8
52	TX - Lubbock	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	1	1

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 3	Subtotal	965	852	543	395	391	113	111	169	139	59	59
1	Alabama	34	29	18	17	17	10	10	6	6	5	5
9	Florida	330	317	169	163	161	35	35	57	37	13	13
10	Georgia	81	75	67	65	65	38	38	42	39	25	25
18	Louisiana	63	61	57	56	54	1	1	9	9	0	0
23	Mississippi	22	22	17	17	17	2	2	7	5	2	2
31	North Carolina	255	185	52	40	40	10	8	28	23	3	3
37	South Carolina	167	153	153	31	31	17	17	20	20	11	11
47	Puerto Rico	13	10	10	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1593	735	167	94	89	35	33	38	28	17	16
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	Arizona*	100	4	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	382	205	86	34	34	2	1	1	1	1	1
21	Michigan*	296	251	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	9	7	6	6	6	3	3	4	4	3	3
30	NY - Albany*	187	8	6	6	6	3	3	2	2	2	2
33	Ohio*	146	109	16	16	16	16	15	16	10	5	5
36	Pennsylvania*	247	25	24	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
43	Washington*	148	86	5	4	4	3	3	3	2	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	27	17	17	17	13	2	2	5	4	1	1
54	MI portion of Chicago*	20	20	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		5236	4066	2822	2333	2207	1182	1174	1260	916	593	582

Notes:

\* Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.

c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.

d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.

e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.

f. As of the second quarter of 2007, the NPSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).

g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2  
Status of NPSPAC Channels  
Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained
6	California - North	1	1	0.83	99.17	Stage 1
7	Colorado	1	80	66.67	33.33	Stage 3 (50%)
8	New York - Metropolitan	1	0	0	100	Stage 1
11	Hawaii	1	20	16.67	83.33	Stage 1
13	Illinois	1	31	25.83	74.17	Stage 2 (25%)
14	Indiana	1	2	1.67	98.33	Stage 1
19	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermo	1	1	0.83	99.17	Stage 1
20	Maryland; Washington, DC; Vir	1	0	0	100	Stage 1
27	Nevada	1	7	5.83	94.17	Stage 1
28	New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Del	1	0	0	100	Stage 1
35	Oregon	1	13	10.83	89.17	Stage 1
41	Utah	1	0	0	100	Stage 1
42	Virginia	1	6	5	95	Stage 1
45	Wisconsin	1	99	82.5	17.5	Stage 4 (75%)
54	Chicago - Metropolitan	1	1	0.83	99.17	Stage 1
4	Arkansas	2	0	0	100	Stage 1
12	Idaho	2	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
15	Iowa	2	115	95.83	4.17	Stage 5 (90%)
16	Kansas	2	2	1.67	98.33	Stage 1
17	Kentucky	2	71	59.17	40.83	Stage 3 (50%)
22	Minnesota	2	89	74.17	25.83	Stage 3 (50%)
24	Missouri	2	85	70.83	29.17	Stage 3 (50%)
25	Montana	2	114	95	5	Stage 5 (90%)
26	Nebraska	2	73	60.83	39.17	Stage 3 (50%)
32	North Dakota	2	118	98.33	1.67	Stage 5 (90%)
34	Oklahoma	2	16	13.33	86.67	Stage 1
38	South Dakota	2	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
39	Tennessee	2	32	26.67	73.33	Stage 2 (25%)
40	Texas - Dallas	2	5	4.17	95.83	Stage 1
44	West Virginia	2	82	68.33	31.67	Stage 3 (50%)
46	Wyoming	2	96	80	20	Stage 4 (75%)
49	Texas - Austin	2	3	2.5	97.5	Stage 1
51	Texas - Houston	2	0	0	100	Stage 1
52	Texas - Lubbock	2	115	95.83	4.17	Stage 5 (90%)
1	Alabama	3	32	26.67	73.33	Stage 2 (25%)
9	Florida	3	0	0	100	Stage 1
10	Georgia	3	3	2.5	97.5	Stage 1
18	Louisiana	3	0	0	100	Stage 1
23	Mississippi	3	21	17.5	82.5	Stage 1
31	North Carolina	3	0	0	100	Stage 1
37	South Carolina	3	0	0	100	Stage 1
47	Puerto Rico	3	16	13.33	86.67	Stage 1
48	U.S. Virgin Islands	3	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
2	Alaska	4	118	98.33	1.67	Stage 5 (90%)
3	Arizona	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
5	California - South	4	0	0	100	Stage 1

Appendix 2  
Status of NPSPAC Channels  
Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained
21	Michigan	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
29	New Mexico	4	62	51.67	48.33	Stage 3 (50%)
30	New York - Albany	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
33	Ohio	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
36	Pennsylvania	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
43	Washington	4	0	0	100	Stage 1
50	Texas - El Paso	4	109	90.83	9.17	Stage 5 (90%)
53	Texas - San Antonio	4	6	5	95	Stage 1
55	New York - Buffalo	4	84	70	30	Stage 3 (50%)
61	Gulf of Mexico	4	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
62	Marianas	4	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
63	Guam	4	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)
64	American Samoa	4	120	100	0	Stage 6 (100%)


Note: There are 120, 25 kHz channel equivalents in the 821-824/866-869 MHz band. For a 25 kHz channel to be cleared, the center NPSPAC channel as well as the adjacent offset channels must be cleared. For instance, to clear 821/866.0625, 821/866.0500 and 821/866.0750 must also be cleared.

Appendix 2  
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:  
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/09	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/09 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/09 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	4
39	Tennessee	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	4
Wave 3	Subtotal	240	238	201	186	186	158	157	161	151	141	106
1	Alabama	59	59	43	43	43	31	30	29	29	28	22
9	Florida	32	32	16	14	14	14	14	14	8	8	4
10	Georgia	75	74	74	67	67	61	61	61	60	54	39
18	Louisiana	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
23	Mississippi	50	50	48	48	48	40	40	45	42	39	34
31	North Carolina	7	7	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
37	South Carolina	12	11	12	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	2
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		247	245	208	193	193	165	164	168	156	148	110

Notes:

- Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



### Appendix 3

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	362	309	304	298	148
Multiregion	55	45	45	44	15
6	34	32	32	31	21
7	8	8	8	8	6
8	42	41	41	41	23
11	3	3	3	3	2
13	7	6	6	6	4
14	23	19	19	19	13
19	50	46	46	46	21
20	32	21	18	16	0
27	4	4	4	3	1
28	22	15	15	15	9
35	5	5	5	5	3
41	9	9	9	9	2
42	24	15	15	15	3
45	4	4	4	4	2
54	40	36	34	33	23
Wave 2	230	213	210	210	107
Multiregion	27	22	22	22	6
4	9	8	8	8	6
12	0	0	0	0	0
15	16	16	16	16	8
16	20	13	12	12	8
17	17	17	17	17	13
22	15	15	15	15	15
24	13	13	12	12	7
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	17	17	17	17	12
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	8	8	8	8	3
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	23	22	21	21	11
40	32	32	32	32	9
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	2	2	2	2	2
49	17	17	17	17	3
51	11	8	8	8	3
52	2	2	2	2	0

### Appendix 3

#### Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
Wave 3	237	200	195	192	51
Multiregion	18	15	15	15	4
1	18	14	13	13	5
9	63	49	47	46	10
10	44	40	39	39	19
18	30	24	24	22	0
23	14	12	12	12	4
31	28	25	24	24	4
37	16	15	15	15	5
47	6	6	6	6	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	47	41	41	38	15
Multiregion	7	7	7	7	0
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	0
5	4	4	4	4	1
21	1	1	1	1	0
29	6	5	5	4	2
30	3	3	3	3	2
33	9	6	6	6	4
36	3	1	1	1	1
43	2	2	2	2	1
50	2	2	2	2	1
53	6	6	6	5	1
54	1	1	1	1	1
55	0	0	0	0	0
62	0	0	0	0	0
63	1	1	1	0	0
TOTAL	876	763	750	738	321

\* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	KNNQ425	860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WNQL400	860.4875, 860.7125, 860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRG698	860.7125
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRR956	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVN873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Lake Havasu City, City of	AZ	WPXB342	860.4375, 860.9625
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXD838	860.9875
City of Palm Springs	CA	WNZH770	860.7125
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM865	860.2375
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Elk Grove Unified School District	CA	WPJY405	860.7875, 860.8125
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Long Beach, City of	CA	KNCR530	860.2375
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY330	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY335	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPMC676	860.2500, 860.4250
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNW306	860.2875, 860.8125, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNZ337	860.2875, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPYQ990	860.8125
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WQCW497	860.8125
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPGV544	860.4625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPHY525	860.4625, 860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Baca, County of	CO	WPNP223	860.2125
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Connecticut, State of	CT	WPPF755	860.4875
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Clay, County of	FL	WPDC613	860.4375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNLK955	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNSK615	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625
Indian River, County of	FL	WNMZ770	860.4875, 860.9875
Indian River, County of	FL	WQHR977	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Reedy Creek Improvement District	FL	WPTU288	860.2625
Saint Lucie, County of	FL	WPDV207	860.2375, 860.4625
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
DeKalb County Police Department	GA	KNAN828	858.2625
Guam, Government of	GU	WPDA554	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPCG201	860.2625
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPTY944	860.9875
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Boise, City of	ID	WP1I857	860.9375
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ETSB	IL	KNNT505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Springfield, City of	IL	WQAZ465	860.4875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Madison, County of	KY	WNVN963	860.4875, 860.7375
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Richmond, City of	KY	WQDE403	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Iberia, Parish of	LA	WPQY650	860.7625
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJI711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Minden, City of	LA	WPWJ531	860.4875
New Orleans Convention Center	LA	WPJS469	860.2375
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority	LA	WPAP726	860.2125, 860.4375
New Orleans, City of	LA	WNCD880	860.7875, 860.8125
Orleans Levee District	LA	WNQC758	860.2625
Orleans Parish School Board	LA	KNJU690	860.7125
Sterlington, Town of	LA	WPNZ848	860.7375
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM765	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM766	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM767	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM768	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM769	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM770	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM771	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM772	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNQK948	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPLU397	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPQX905	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPXJ396	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WPUA724	860.7625
Grand Rapids Public Schools	MI	WPIP999	860.2125, 860.9875
Walker, City of	MI	WPVP312	860.4375
Clay, County of	MN	WPLS888	860.7125
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG265	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG266	815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYS897	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY636	815.2125, 815.2375, 815.4375, 815.7125, 815.7375, 815.9375, 815.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY637	860.2375, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF219	815.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF220	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDG878	860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ490	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7625, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ508	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDR523	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.4625, 815.4875, 815.7375, 815.7625, 815.9375, 815.9625, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHF462	860.2375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHK535	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJL572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
Bolivar County E911	MS	WPXA863	860.2375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
De Soto, County of	MS	WPCC361	860.4875, 860.7625
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Mississippi, University of	MS	WPJQ621	860.7375
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Vicksburg, City of	MS	KNNH519	857.7125, 858.2625
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WQGP564	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPJQ536	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN567	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN594	860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPMB804	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCQ971	860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCS908	860.9375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNT448	860.2125
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPDK444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH415	860.2625
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH416	860.2625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WNZW684	n/a*
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WPUW259	860.4375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WNZB252	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKB391	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ524	860.2125, 860.7125, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ526	860.4625, 860.7375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD805	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD806	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQZ376	860.2125, 860.4375
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY226	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY297	860.2375, 860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPST690	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPTR307	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WPZZ385	860.2375
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV628	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV634	860.2125
University and Community College System	NV	WPWK236	860.4625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
Albany County Airport Authority	NY	WPBD381	860.7625
Albany, County of	NY	WPTC680	860.7375
Chemung, County of	NY	WPDT243	860.2625
City of Albany	NY	WPWW868	860.2125, 860.4375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
Colonie, Town of	NY	WNRN976	860.2625
Colonie, Town of	NY	WPJS475	860.2375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Niskayuna, Town of	NY	WPKY419	860.9875
Rockland, County of	NY	WPED556	860.7250
Troy, City of	NY	WPFC682	860.9375
Columbus, City of	OH	WNRJ541	860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875
Forest Park, City of	OH	WNJH360	860.9875
Grove City, City of	OH	WPBY922	860.2375
Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority	OH	WPGY687	860.4875, 860.9375
City of Norman	OK	WPFC871	860.7625, 860.9625
Edmond, City of	OK	WPJT968	860.9375
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Oklahoma, State of	OK	KNBU457	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP614	860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP618	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ850	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ878	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN465	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN466	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN467	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR618	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR886	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR887	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR889	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR890	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFZ462	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQGF211	860.4375
Shawnee, City of	OK	WNWV280	860.4625
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.9625
City of Portland	OR	WNVL283	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WNZY850	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WPFQ300	860.8125, 860.8625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WNSK475	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES432	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES436	860.2375, 860.7375
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WNWC473	860.2125, 860.4875
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WPJG234	860.2125, 860.4875
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Carlisle, Borough of	PA	KNNK871	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	KNNM504	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ870	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ871	860.2625, 860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN956	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN957	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC513	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC515	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC705	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC709	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC717	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHK779	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPJR834	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPKX415	860.4625, 860.9625
Chester, County of	PA	WPMJ468	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPWE515	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPWI590	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYE456	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYG324	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHY558	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of Emergency Preparedness	PA	WQAB589	860.4375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Lower Allen, Township of	PA	WPAP650	860.9875

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPFQ220	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPWE776	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZS814	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZU942	860.9375
Whitehall, Borough of	PA	WPRF753	860.7125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenville, County of	SC	WPDK619	860.7125
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Bartlett, City of	TN	WNVF991	860.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson, City of	TN	WPUE965	860.2625, 860.7625
Jackson, City of	TN	WQBB501	860.9875
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WNRM943	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Shelby, County of	TN	WNQU968	860.2125
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	WPTD745	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
San Antonio, City of	TX	WPBG238	860.3625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Murray, City of	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPWH838	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPXK838	860.4375
Salt Lake City, City of	UT	KNJU695	860.7625, 860.9625
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375

Appendix 4  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2009

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Fairfax, County of	VA	KNIH412	860.2625
Fairfax, County of	VA	WNAJ365	860.2625
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP369	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP374	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP377	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
Clark, County of	WA	WQEQ542	860.9875
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ860	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ862	860.2375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ865	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ866	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ867	860.4375
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Sheboygan, County of	WI	WPTS436	860.2625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPFD727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

\* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2009

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009						
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs						
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>1907</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2991</b>
6	CA - North	107	88	136	0	331
7	Colorado	27	15	225	0	267
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	26	386	0	508
11	Hawaii	55	5	28	0	88
13	Illinois	40	12	142	0	194
14	Indiana	27	34	141	0	202
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	75	23	131	0	229
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	5	92	0	163
27	Nevada	63	5	42	0	110
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	9	219	0	295
35	Oregon	48	1	38	0	87
41	Utah	21	3	147	0	171
42	Virginia	52	23	46	0	121
45	Wisconsin	13	10	3	0	26
54	Chicago	36	32	131	0	199
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1434</b>
4	Arkansas	38	45	95	0	178
12	Idaho*	15	0	0	0	15
15	Iowa	16	16	7	0	39
16	Kansas	33	6	285	0	324
17	Kentucky	16	13	16	0	45
22	Minnesota*	78	10	50	0	138
24	Missouri	37	11	19	0	67
25	Montana*	19	0	1	0	20
26	Nebraska	10	4	31	0	45
32	North Dakota*	10	0	1	0	11
34	Oklahoma	25	3	38	0	66
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	43	30	56	7	136
40	TX - Dallas	38	21	45	0	104
44	West Virginia	3	1	9	0	13
46	Wyoming	1	2	7	0	10
49	TX - Austin	11	9	67	0	87
51	TX - Houston	42	6	42	0	90
52	TX - Lubbock	42	1	2	0	45
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>965</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>1976</b>
1	Alabama	9	29	34	59	131
9	Florida	221	60	330	32	643
10	Georgia	50	28	81	75	234
18	Louisiana	79	15	63	5	162
23	Mississippi	22	17	22	50	111
31	North Carolina	67	23	255	7	352
37	South Carolina	37	23	167	12	239
47	Puerto Rico	66	2	13	0	81
48	US Virgin Islands	23	0	0	0	23

Appendix 5  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2009

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009						
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs						
Wave 4	Subtotal	866	288	1593	0	2747
2	Alaska*	23	1	1	0	25
3	Arizona*	74	20	100	0	194
5	CA - South*	138	98	382	0	618
21	Michigan*	55	2	296	0	353
29	New Mexico*	25	4	9	0	38
30	NY - Albany*	90	62	187	0	339
33	Ohio*	103	35	146	0	284
36	Pennsylvania*	11	9	247	0	267
43	Washington*	147	17	148	0	312
50	TX - El Paso*	10	5	3	0	18
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	27	0	59
54	MI portion of Chicago*	7	4	20	0	31
55	New York - Buffalo*	167	15	27	0	209
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2711	954	5236	247	9148

\* Public Safety Region includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

# Appendix 5

Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information for the Canadian Border Regions, as of March 31, 2009

Current Population of Canadian Border Region Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2009				
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Stage 1	Stage 2	Total
		Number of Call Signs		
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	19	2	21
35	Oregon	0	1	1
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>87</b>
12	Idaho	4	0	4
15	Iowa (a)	1	0	1
22	Minnesota	24	3	27
25	Montana	9	1	10
32	North Dakota	44	1	45
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>1443</b>	<b>1661</b>
2	Alaska	29	0	29
21	Michigan	42	324	366
30	NY - Albany	15	241	256
33	Ohio	67	206	273
36	Pennsylvania	3	207	210
43	Washington	49	256	305
54	MI portion of Chicago	2	20	22
55	New York - Buffalo	11	189	200
<b>Total for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>319</b>	<b>1451</b>	<b>1770</b>

Notes:

- For Non-Canadian Border PSR 15, a call sign has frequencies in both PSR 15 and PSR 22. The reconfiguration of the frequencies on this call sign in PSR 22 necessitated the reconfiguration of the same frequencies in PSR 15.
- Q1 2009 counts are slightly lower than Q4 2008 due to call sign cancellations.

Appendix 5  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2009

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of March 31, 2009														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	87.1%	98.6%	99.9%	91.3%	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%	87.0%	97.6%	90.5%	100.0%	31.7%	42.9%	5.9%
Under Prior Contract	12.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64.9%	42.6%	93.9%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.1%	1.4%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	1.0%	14.5%	0.2%
FPRs in process	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes:

- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
- d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.

Appendix 6  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)							
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>354</b>
	Multiregion	73	17	4	0	0	94
6	Northern California	31	3	2	0	0	36
7	Colorado	11	0	1	0	0	12
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	29	5	0	0	0	34
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	7	1	1	0	0	9
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	12	6	0	0	0	18
27	Nevada	18	3	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	0	1	0	0	24
35	Oregon	13	1	0	0	0	14
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	14	2	0	0	0	16
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	14	4	1	0	0	19
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>205</b>
	Multiregion	47	14	1	0	0	62
4	Arkansas	5	3	0	0	0	8
12	Idaho	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	9	1	0	0	0	10
22	Minnesota	25	3	0	0	0	28
24	Missouri	10	3	0	0	0	13
25	Montana	4	0	1	0	0	5
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	20	3	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	2	0	0	0	12
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	8	0	0	0	0	8
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>240</b>
	Multiregion	63	5	1	0	0	69
1	Alabama	12	1	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	51	6	2	0	0	59
10	Georgia	33	3	0	0	0	36
18	Louisiana	13	1	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	13	1	0	0	0	14
31	North Carolina	20	1	1	0	0	22
37	South Carolina	4	1	0	0	0	5
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>112</b>
	Multiregion	22	0	1	0	0	23
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona	22	0	0	0	0	22
5	CA - South	2	0	0	0	0	2
10	Georgia	1	0	0	0	0	1
18	Louisiana	9	4	0	0	0	13
21	Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
23	Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico	7	0	0	0	0	7
30	Eastern Upstate NY	4	1	0	0	0	5
33	Ohio	7	0	0	0	0	7
36	Pennsylvania	4	0	0	0	0	4
43	Washington	5	0	0	0	0	5
50	TX - El Paso	6	0	0	0	0	6
53	TX - San Antonio	4	2	0	0	0	6
54	MI portion of Chicago	4	0	0	0	0	4
55	New York - Buffalo	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>782</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>911</b>

Appendix 7  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)							
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>298</b>
	Multiregion	29	11	4	0	0	44
6	Northern California	27	4	0	0	0	31
7	Colorado	7	0	1	0	0	8
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	36	4	1	0	0	41
11	Hawaii	1	0	2	0	0	3
13	Illinois	5	1	0	0	0	6
14	Indiana	15	4	0	0	0	19
19	New England	37	9	0	0	0	46
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	13	3	0	0	0	16
27	Nevada	3	0	0	0	0	3
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	14	1	0	0	0	15
35	Oregon	5	0	0	0	0	5
41	Utah	8	1	0	0	0	9
42	Virginia	14	0	1	0	0	15
45	Wisconsin	3	1	0	0	0	4
54	Southern Lake Michigan	31	2	0	0	0	33
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>210</b>
	Multiregion	18	4	0	0	0	22
4	Arkansas	8	0	0	0	0	8
12	Idaho	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	15	1	0	0	0	16
16	Kansas	11	1	0	0	0	12
17	Kentucky	14	3	0	0	0	17
22	Minnesota	15	0	0	0	0	15
24	Missouri	12	0	0	0	0	12
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	14	3	0	0	0	17
32	North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	7	1	0	0	0	8
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	18	3	0	0	0	21
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	27	5	0	0	0	32
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	1	0	1	0	0	2
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	13	3	1	0	0	17
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	4	2	2	0	0	8
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	2	0	0	0	0	2

Appendix 7  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of March 31, 2009

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>192</b>
	Multiregion	12	3	0	0	0	15
1	Alabama	10	3	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	34	11	1	0	0	46
10	Georgia	32	7	0	0	0	39
18	Louisiana	14	8	0	0	0	22
23	Mississippi	12	0	0	0	0	12
31	North Carolina	21	3	0	0	0	24
37	South Carolina	8	6	1	0	0	15
47	Puerto Rico	6	0	0	0	0	6
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>
	Multiregion	6	1	0	0	0	7
2	Alaska	0	1	0	0	0	1
3	Arizona	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	CA - South	3	1	0	0	0	4
21	Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico	3	1	0	0	0	4
30	Eastern Upstate NY	3	0	0	0	0	3
33	Ohio	6	0	0	0	0	6
36	Pennsylvania	1	0	0	0	0	1
43	Washington	2	0	0	0	0	2
50	TX - El Paso	2	0	0	0	0	2
53	TX - San Antonio	4	1	0	0	0	5
54	MI portion of Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1
55	New York - Buffalo	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>610</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>738</b>

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
Deal Name		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
2	Oregon, State of	12/22/05	
3	Slater, Stuart R	12/23/05	
4	RA Comm Inc	1/10/06	
5	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/06	
6	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/06	
7	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/06	
8	Billiou Ranch Inc.	2/7/06	
9	Jokers, Lloyd L	2/7/06	
10	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/06	
11	Lowery, Nelda	2/15/06	
12	Stock, Marcia	2/15/06	
13	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	2/16/06	
14	Kuypers, John	2/27/06	
15	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/06	
16	Vico Construction Company	4/17/06	
17	Crader, R David	4/17/06	
18	Herby, Clinton	4/17/06	
19	Gold Star FS INC	4/18/06	
20	High Peak Communications, LLC	4/21/06	
21	Taylor, Eugene J.	4/21/06	
22	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/23/06	
23	Boyar, Adam	4/25/06	
24	Plantings By the Sea	4/25/06	
25	Marco Polo Rebanding	4/27/06	
26	Ohio Valley Gas	4/27/06	
27	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/27/06	
28	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/06	
29	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/06	
30	Taylor, Dorothy L	4/27/06	
31	Cunningham, Barbara	5/3/06	
32	Albany, City of	5/3/06	
33	Salisbury, Town of	5/9/06	
34	Tooele, County Of	5/9/06	
35	Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2)	5/9/06	
36	Emery, Connie R	5/10/06	
37	Steg, Bernard (2)	5/10/06	
38	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/06	
39	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/06	
40	Smith, Alton	5/18/06	
41	Myers, Natalie	5/18/06	
42	Gallagher Asphalt # 2	5/23/06	
43	Super Shuttle International 2	5/24/06	
44	Access Ag, Inc.	5/24/06	
45	Chapin, Barbara A.	5/25/06	
46	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/25/06	
47	Black, Daniel	6/1/06	
48	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/06	
49	Emery, Robert M	6/6/06	
50	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/06	
51	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2	6/8/06	
52	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/06	
53	Communications Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
54	Patel, Shailesh N #3 ( swap)	6/14/06	
55	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/06	
56	Sobota, Carolyn	6/16/06	
57	Ferrari, Julia (Plote)	6/16/06	
58	West County Transportation Agency	6/19/06	
59	Underground Inc	6/21/06	
60	Storer, Donald B.	6/21/06	
61	Perdue Farms Inc.	6/23/06	
62	Henderson, Henry	6/26/06	
63	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	6/30/06	
64	Resorts USA Inc.	6/30/06	
65	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/06	
66	841 Bishop, LLC	7/6/06	
67	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/06	
68	Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/06	
69	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/06	
70	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/06	
71	Casino Queen INC	7/10/06	
72	Secom Communications 2	7/10/06	
73	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/06	
74	Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc.	7/12/06	
75	Lely, Gerald	7/12/06	
76	Triangle Wireless Inc	7/12/06	
77	Prentiss Properties (rebanding)	7/13/06	
78	F & D Enterprises Inc.	7/17/06	
79	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers	7/17/06	
80	Salvation Army Harrisburg	7/17/06	
81	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/06	
82	Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap)	7/20/06	
83	Clean Water Services	7/21/06	
84	Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc.	7/24/06	
85	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/06	
86	M S Concrete	7/26/06	
87	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/06	
88	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/06	
89	Henry Nelch & Son Co	7/28/06	
90	Irving Materials	7/28/06	
91	Easton, Town of	7/28/06	
92	Aluminium Company of America 1	7/28/06	
93	A Teichert & Son Contract	8/1/06	
94	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/06	
95	Blankenbechler, Steve	8/1/06	
96	Community Repeater Guidance CA	8/1/06	
97	Total Networks Communications Inc	8/3/06	
98	Mandalay Corp	8/3/06	
99	Jeffersonville, City of	8/4/06	
100	Lyon, Corey M	8/4/06	
101	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/06	
102	London Towncars Inc	8/8/06	
103	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/06	
104	CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems	8/9/06	
105	Tilden, Robert	8/9/06	
106	Lectro Communications Inc.	8/9/06	
107	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
108	Steuben County 3	8/15/06	
109	Wheatridge, City of	8/15/06	
110	Shearer Communications	8/15/06	
111	Donald, C. Alger	8/15/06	
112	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/06	
113	Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc	8/16/06	
114	Cook Dupage Transportation	8/21/06	
115	Boston Properties limited Partnership	8/24/06	
116	Shuluk, Greg	8/28/06	
117	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/06	
118	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/06	
119	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/06	
120	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/06	
121	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/06	
122	The Boeing Company	8/30/06	
123	Fowlkes, Edddie	8/31/06	
124	Methvin, Madeline	9/5/06	
125	Denver Public Schools	9/6/06	
126	Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2)	9/6/06	
127	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/06	
128	Granite Contruccion Company	9/8/06	
129	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/06	
130	Jung, Mark	9/11/06	
131	Ferma Corp	9/20/06	
132	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2)	9/26/06	
133	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4)	9/27/06	
134	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc.	10/5/06	
135	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/06	
136	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/06	
137	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/06	
138	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/06	
139	Illinois, State of	10/12/06	
140	Crane, Bert	10/16/06	
141	Wireless Connections LLC	10/17/06	
142	Petry, Gay	10/17/06	
143	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/06	
144	Wright Jr, Gary L	10/23/06	
145	Waterbury, City of	10/24/06	
146	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/06	
147	Conley, George	11/2/06	
148	Deere & Company	11/2/06	
149	TKT INC	11/2/06	
150	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/06	
151	Rock County, WI	11/13/06	
152	Globeground North America LLC	11/20/06	
153	Windham, Town of	11/20/06	
154	Duncan Gordon L	11/21/06	
155	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc.	11/27/06	
156	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/06	
157	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/06	
158	Brooks, Janis	12/1/06	
159	Mountaire Farms	12/5/06	
160	Mathews Ferderick and Chas	12/6/06	
161	Wecom Inc.	12/6/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
162	Triple C Communications	12/6/06	
163	CNF Transportation Inc.	12/8/06	
164	Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc.	12/8/06	
165	Hampden Communication Corp	12/12/06	
166	Weleccki, Alan M	12/28/06	
167	Connecticut State of , CCSU	1/8/07	
168	Aggregate Inc.	1/17/07	
169	Hartford Hospital	1/19/07	
170	Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc.	1/19/07	
171	Willard Agri Svc	1/19/07	
172	K & A Mutual Associates Inc.	1/23/07	
173	K5 Contruction	1/24/07	
174	Dole Fresh Fruit	1/25/07	
175	Wille Brother Company	1/25/07	
176	New Haven, City of	1/25/07	
177	Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	1/26/07	
178	Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2	1/29/07	
179	KLL Wireless, INC	1/29/07	
180	Adler, Philip	2/1/07	
181	William A. Hazel	2/2/07	
182	Stoller Construction	2/5/07	
183	R. W. Miller & Sons Inc	2/8/07	
184	Frederick County, MD Board of Education	2/8/07	
185	Frontier Radio	2/9/07	
186	Frederick County, MD	2/9/07	
187	Caterpillar	2/15/07	
188	Joseph J Albanese Inc	2/15/07	
189	Brenner, Jerry	2/21/07	
190	JTE Enterprises	2/21/07	
191	Insight Communications Midwest LLC	2/26/07	
192	Mary Ellen Brewer (Lundy)	2/26/07	
193	Sprague, Town of	2/27/07	
194	Nevada Ready Mix II	2/27/07	
195	Sonoma County, CA	3/1/07	
196	WP Company LLC	3/2/07	
197	Lockheed Martin Corporation	3/6/07	
198	Chaney Enterprises (2)	3/8/07	
199	Praire Group Inc.	3/15/07	
200	County of Ocean New Jersey	3/15/07	
201	Radon - Norcom	3/15/07	
202	Soundview Spectrum, LLC	3/15/07	
203	Glastonbury Police Department	3/15/07	
204	Metro Electronics	3/16/07	
205	Hawaii, State of	3/16/07	
206	Rhode Island, State of	3/20/07	
207	XW LLC #2 (swap)	3/21/07	
208	Meriden, City of	3/21/07	
209	Mirage Resorts Inc.	3/26/07	
210	Gerawan Farming (Re-band)	3/27/07	
211	Horizon Mobile Communications Inc.	3/29/07	
212	Trenton, City of	4/6/07	
213	Montgomery Shuttle	4/11/07	
214	Central Bucks School District	4/13/07	
215	Motient (Deal I - L120)	4/17/07	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
216	First Student, Inc.	4/19/07	
217	Motorola (2)	4/20/07	
218	Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc.	4/30/07	
219	Durham School services - PHI, PA	5/1/07	
220	Durham School services - CHI, IL	5/1/07	
221	Durham School Services - North CA	5/1/07	
222	Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company	5/11/07	
223	Pennington, Agnes	5/15/07	
224	PG & E (Re-Band)	5/15/07	
225	Adams, Don Jr.	5/17/07	
226	Anderson, Glen	5/18/07	
227	Rheins, Joy 2	5/23/07	
228	Townsend Booth	5/23/07	
229	Durham School Services - Decatur, IL	5/23/07	
230	Hudson General -NY	5/24/07	
231	Colorado, State of ( L-120)	5/30/07	
232	Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc.	5/31/07	
233	New York Communications Company Inc.	6/1/07	
234	O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc.	6/4/07	
235	Reilly, Phillip	6/5/07	
236	Cumberland, County of	6/5/07	
237	Cohen, Eliahu	6/11/07	
238	Orosz, Joseph Rebanding	6/11/07	
239	Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005	6/12/07	
240	Hernandez, David A	6/12/07	
241	RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2	6/14/07	
242	Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap)	6/22/07	
243	Ready Mixed Concrete Company	6/26/07	
244	David Whiteside	6/26/07	
245	Bethlehem, City of	6/27/07	
246	Cure Brothers	6/28/07	
247	Mora, Albert	7/2/07	
248	Desilva Gates Const.	7/2/07	
249	Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc	7/10/07	
250	Academy Express	7/10/07	
251	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07	
252	RSK World, Inc. Rebanding	7/17/07	
253	ANAT, LLC Rebanding	7/23/07	
254	VCF Inc.	7/24/07	
255	John E Sola Estate	7/25/07	
256	Egg Harbor, Township of	9/7/07	
257	PCS Communications	9/19/07	
258	Atlantic, County of	10/3/07	
259	Myers Brothers Inc	10/23/07	
260	York Cable Television	10/24/07	
261	Montgomery, County of 2005	10/24/07	
262	Union, County of	10/25/07	
263	Coastal SMR/ARC	11/16/07	
264	Craig Antenna Service 2	12/20/07	
265	Ray's Radio #2	1/11/08	
266	DuPage, County of	1/14/08	
267	Nevada Ready Mix	1/15/08	
268	Johnson, County of	1/31/08	
269	Peco Energy Company	2/1/08	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
270	MCM Construction # 2 Swap	2/12/08	
271	Township of Berkeley	3/10/08	
272	Murray City Power Department	3/13/08	
273	Redland Genstar Inc.	3/25/08	
274	Peltzer Farm Management, Inc.	3/31/08	
275	Illinois Cooperative Association, INV #4	4/11/08	
276	Harris Communications / A-1-A TA Inc.	4/14/08	
277	Hudson, County of	4/24/08	
278	Hale, Robert	5/6/08	
279	Chesterfield County, VA	5/9/08	
280	Quicksilver Express Courier	5/19/08	
281	Laidlaw Transit	5/20/08	
282	Myers + Brown # 6	5/27/08	
283	Virginia Commonwealth of DMV	5/27/08	
284	United Communications Co	5/27/08	
285	Virginia, Commonwealth of - CNU	5/27/08	
286	Wireless US, LLC	6/10/08	
287	Concord Body Repair LLC	6/11/08	
288	Computer Car Inc.	6/30/08	
289	Ocean City, Town of	10/1/08	
290	United Illuminating Company	10/14/08	
291	State of Connecticut	12/4/08	
292	Helms Brothers	1/22/09	
293	Kuilima Resort Company Rebanding	1/22/09	
294	Seabrook Joint Owners - Rebanding	1/26/09	
295	Fresno Unified School District	1/30/09	
296	Ameren Services Company	2/16/09	
297	Regency Cab Inc.	2/25/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>297</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	Lees Summit Board of Education	12/6/05	
2	Maplewood, City of	1/3/06	
3	Raft River Rural Electric Corp	1/5/06	
4	Zenk, John	1/10/06	
5	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	2/3/06	
6	Nebco Inc.	2/27/06	
7	Electronic Specialties, Inc	3/8/06	
8	Champion Communications Services	3/14/06	
9	Johnson, Christian	3/14/06	
10	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/06	
11	First Student, Inc.	4/17/06	
12	McMahon, Eric	4/17/06	
13	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/06	
14	Steier, Tim	4/21/06	
15	Triple D Communications Inc	4/27/06	
16	Seba Bros Farms Inc	4/27/06	
17	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/06	
18	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/06	
19	Malavia, Jim	5/9/06	
20	Mid Tennessee third Mobile	5/9/06	
21	Battles Communications 2	5/15/06	
22	Minnesota, State of	5/15/06	
23	Radioland Inc.	5/15/06	
24	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	5/15/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
25	Best D & D	5/18/06	
26	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/06	
27	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	5/24/06	
28	Graybill Electronics Inc.	5/25/06	
29	Epperson, Jimmy A.	5/25/06	
30	Frontier El Dorado Refining	6/1/06	
31	Telebeep Inc.	6/1/06	
32	Myers, L E	6/2/06	
33	J Lee Milligan	6/6/06	
34	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/06	
35	Melba School District 136	6/6/06	
36	Boyar, Chris	6/9/06	
37	Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications	6/9/06	
38	Pine Bluff, City of, AR	6/16/06	
39	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/06	
40	Minneapolis, City of	6/19/06	
41	Houston 900 Network	6/23/06	
42	Hopkins, Terry	6/26/06	
43	Balis, Gregory K	6/28/06	
44	Wood Communications 2	6/28/06	
45	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/06	
46	Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi	7/5/06	
47	Butler, Edward	7/6/06	
48	Ashland Construction Communications	7/6/06	
49	West Central Communications 2	7/12/06	
50	TFM Comm Inc.	7/13/06	
51	North Kansas City, City of ( School District)	7/13/06	
52	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/06	
53	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/06	
54	Allied Services LLC	7/26/06	
55	Herby, John	7/27/06	
56	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/06	
57	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/06	
58	Williamstown, City of	8/7/06	
59	21st Century Wireless ( L120 Rebanding )	8/9/06	
60	Molitor Farms Inc	8/9/06	
61	Bellar Communications Co	8/14/06	
62	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/06	
63	Ames Construction Inc.	8/15/06	
64	Herby, Martha A	8/16/06	
65	Craighead, County of AR	8/16/06	
66	Stier Transportation Services Inc.	8/18/06	
67	Miller, Cleo	8/24/06	
68	Co Op Agriculture Center	8/24/06	
69	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/06	
70	Airtel Wireless, LLC	8/28/06	
71	City of Dakota Dunes	8/28/06	
72	Lehman Roberts	9/15/06	
73	Watonwan Farm Service	9/27/06	
74	Hearthstone Enterprises Inc	10/3/06	
75	Gateway Wireless Services 3	10/4/06	
76	AVR Inc.	10/6/06	
77	Michael Howell	10/12/06	
78	Action Radio & Communications INC	10/23/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
79	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/06	
80	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/06	
81	Hopkins, City of	10/31/06	
82	Crittenden County, AR	11/1/06	
83	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/06	
84	Midwest City	11/2/06	
85	Raabe, Richard	11/8/06	
86	C & W Comm (Steve Gill)	11/9/06	
87	John Knox Village	11/10/06	
88	Athens Utilities Board, TN	11/17/06	
89	C S Leasing	11/27/06	
90	Dallas Count, TX	11/27/06	
91	Aeronautical Radio - MS	11/29/06	
92	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/06	
93	American Red Cross	12/1/06	
94	Union Carbide Corp	12/8/06	
95	Mustang, City of, OK	12/15/06	
96	Bismark, City of	12/27/06	
97	Griffin, Bryan	1/3/07	
98	County Douglas - KS	1/3/07	
99	Chattanooga SMR Inc	1/3/07	
100	Callahan Tower Joint Venture	1/5/07	
101	Curtis Well Servicing	1/10/07	
102	Corey Seppmann Well Drilling	1/17/07	
103	W G Block Co	1/17/07	
104	Xcel Energy Services Inc	1/18/07	
105	Brush, Peter	2/1/07	
106	Gem State	2/5/07	
107	Anchorage, City of	2/5/07	
108	Cemstone Products Company	2/7/07	
109	Snyder, Kenneth C.	2/8/07	
110	Sater, Gary N.	2/12/07	
111	Mattson Well Company	2/19/07	
112	Crescent, The	2/26/07	
113	Electronic Engineering Co. 3	2/26/07	
114	Occidental Permian LTD	2/26/07	
115	Ebenhyoh, Daniel	2/26/07	
116	Holes Inc	2/27/07	
117	Gerbos Bros Construction Co	2/28/07	
118	Grant County, AR	3/5/07	
119	SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street	3/5/07	
120	SR Communications Associates	3/6/07	
121	Alpha Wireless Communications Inc.	3/13/07	
122	Wenner Farms	3/14/07	
123	Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A	3/15/07	
124	Hennepin County	3/15/07	
125	Northwest Airlines	3/15/07	
126	Boulder AG	3/20/07	
127	Charleston Housing	3/22/07	
128	Green Isle Countryside Farms	3/28/07	
129	JP Jenkins, Inc.	3/28/07	
130	Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing	4/3/07	
131	W A Ellis Construction Company	4/9/07	
132	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	4/9/07	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
133 MO ARK Communications Inc.	4/11/07	
134 Sebastian, County of, AR	4/13/07	
135 G & D Communications	4/19/07	
136 Durham School Services - MO	5/1/07	
137 Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc. #6	5/2/07	
138 Berry Hill, City of	5/14/07	
139 Raymer, Joe R	5/17/07	
140 Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP	5/17/07	
141 Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections	5/18/07	
142 Ford Communications	5/24/07	
143 JRJ Paving	5/24/07	
144 Leavenworth, County of, KS	6/4/07	
145 Main Street Partners	6/7/07	
146 Challenger Construction INC.	6/11/07	
147 Central Communications & Electronics	6/11/07	
148 A Communications LLC	6/12/07	
149 Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	6/25/07	
150 Hertz Rent A Car	7/2/07	
151 Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G	7/2/07	
152 Airport Fast Park	7/23/07	
153 Bass Enterprises Construction Company	7/23/07	
154 Bridgeport Tank Trucks	8/15/07	
155 Peacock, John F	8/24/07	
156 Gladstone, City of	8/24/07	
157 Bliss, Robert D	10/10/07	
158 Hillard, Harold 2	10/17/07	
159 Grays Knob Coal Co	10/17/07	
160 Baptist Medical System	10/17/07	
161 Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4)	10/18/07	
162 Meek,Larry ( Rebanding)	10/23/07	
163 Capital Aggregates Inc	10/23/07	
164 City of Derby	11/16/07	
165 Septran, Inc.	12/12/07	
166 Denton, City of	1/14/08	
167 Self Radio, Inc. and Self Brothers Oil Company	2/18/08	
168 Sedgwick, County of, KS	2/19/08	
169 Entergy	2/27/08	
170 Kansas, State of	3/10/08	
171 Mobil Oil Telcom LTD	3/21/08	
172 Mid American Energy Company	4/29/08	
173 Anheuser Busch	5/5/08	
174 Levake, Martin 2005	5/27/08	
175 Covington, City of	5/27/08	
176 WASTE CONNECTIONS OF TENNESSEE	6/10/08	
177 Quicksilver Express Courier	7/16/08	
178 Burkhardt, Phillip	7/17/08	
179 CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission	8/7/08	
180 ConocoPhillips Communications Inc.	9/29/08	
181 Pitcock and Williams	10/1/08	
182 First Digital Holdings LLC	10/20/08	
183 Conway, City of, AR	10/21/08	
184 Conduff, Archie	11/18/08	
<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>184</b>
<b>Wave 3</b>		

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*		
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
1 Cargill Juice North America, Inc.	1/3/06	
2 Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Partnership	3/27/06	
3 Curry and Company Plumbing	5/10/06	
4 North Communications of PR	5/10/06	
5 Wilemon, Jerry W	5/17/06	
6 Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C.	5/23/06	
7 Boulware, Winston	6/20/06	
8 Rodd Electronics Contract	6/29/06	
9 Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi	7/7/06	
10 Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/06	
11 Shreveport Communications	7/11/06	
12 Quadratics Inc.	7/14/06	
13 Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/06	
14 Houston County of AL	7/21/06	
15 Fulk, Gray L.	7/26/06	
16 Lykes Brothers Inc	8/1/06	
17 Byrd, Alan P	8/5/06	
18 Ingram Grove Service Inc	8/9/06	
19 Tri Co Communications	8/10/06	
20 Gastonia, city of , NC	8/15/06	
21 Louisburg, Town of, NC	8/15/06	
22 Mears Destination Services	8/15/06	
23 Morganton, City of, NC	8/28/06	
24 Ashford, City of, AL	8/30/06	
25 Supershuttle International	9/6/06	
26 White, James	9/8/06	
27 AFLAC	9/15/06	
28 Waters Brothers Contracting	9/15/06	
29 Buddys Phone Patching Inc.	9/20/06	
30 State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3)	9/27/06	
31 Oxford, City of, NC	10/6/06	
32 Rabalais Jr, Ronald J	10/11/06	
33 BJM and Associated Inc	10/17/06	
34 CellSMR South Inc	10/17/06	
35 Saint Joseph Hospital INC	10/17/06	
36 Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc	10/27/06	
37 Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/06	
38 Woodard Communications Corporation	11/7/06	
39 Waste Services of Florida	11/17/06	
40 Madison, Parish of, LA	11/17/06	
41 Everglades Communications Inc	11/20/06	
42 Charlotte, City of, NC	11/21/06	
43 Tampa Electric Company	11/21/06	
44 Washington, County of, FL	11/27/06	
45 Action Community Center	11/27/06	
46 Tallulah, City of, LA	11/29/06	
47 Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL	12/6/06	
48 Patterson Communications & Electronics	12/11/06	
49 Tallapoosa, County Of, AL	12/11/06	
50 Jack M Berry Inc.	12/13/06	
51 Haralson, County Of, GA	12/13/06	
52 ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/06	
53 Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/06	
54 Perry, County of	12/27/06	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
Deal Name		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
55	Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS	12/27/06	
56	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/06	
57	S C Department Of Mental Health, SC	1/3/07	
58	Columbus, City of, GA	1/17/07	
59	FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA FLOYD MEDICAL CENTER	1/17/07	
60	Horn Lake, City of, MS	1/18/07	
61	Louisiana Transit Company Inc.	1/30/07	
62	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA	1/30/07	
63	Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority	1/31/07	
64	North Carolina, State of - DOC	2/2/07	
65	Donn Barr - Virgin Islands	2/2/07	
66	Neighborly Care Network, Inc.	2/12/07	
67	Sugar Cane Growers	2/15/07	
68	Alpharetta, City of, GA	2/15/07	
69	United States Sugar Corporation	2/15/07	
70	Gaston, County of, NC	2/21/07	
71	Monroe - Southern	2/22/07	
72	M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc	2/23/07	
73	Purser, Mark	2/26/07	
74	Florida Hospital	2/27/07	
75	LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA	2/27/07	
76	Whitley's Communications Service	3/1/07	
77	Anderson, City Of, SC	3/2/07	
78	Sunstate Communications Inc	3/2/07	
79	Micro Technology Southeast	3/5/07	
80	Re Max Agents Realty Inc	3/5/07	
81	Lantana, Town of, FL	3/5/07	
82	SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL	3/5/07	
83	Pineville, Town of, NC	3/12/07	
84	Federal Express Corporation	3/13/07	
85	Palm Beach, County of, FL	3/15/07	
86	High Tech Communications Services Inc	3/16/07	
87	City of Wilson	3/20/07	
88	Shaw Industries Inc	3/21/07	
89	NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF	3/23/07	
90	Cameron, Parish Of, LA	3/26/07	
91	Richland, City of, MS	3/26/07	
92	Dothan City Schools	3/27/07	
93	Martha Herby - Virgin Islands	3/27/07	
94	Huntsville Radio Service	3/27/07	
95	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companies	3/27/07	
96	Mississippi DOT	3/27/07	
97	Desoto, County of, Fl	3/28/07	
98	Gainesville, City of, GA	3/30/07	
99	Roswell, City of, GA	4/2/07	
100	Communications Leasing Corp	4/3/07	
101	Lakeland, City Of, FL	4/6/07	
102	HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC	4/6/07	
103	Alabama, University of	4/16/07	
104	Forest Park, City Of, GA	4/19/07	
105	SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA	4/19/07	
106	APM Terminals North America	5/14/07	
107	Sea Coast Communications Inc	5/14/07	
108	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5/15/07	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count	
109 Chatco Communications	5/17/07		
110 Consolidated Freightways Corporation	6/1/07		
111 Carroll, County Of, GA	6/4/07		
112 Palm Springs, Village of, FL	6/19/07		
113 Columbus City of - Medical Center	7/2/07		
114 Decatur, City of, GA	7/10/07		
115 North Sight Communications, INC.	7/12/07		
116 BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC	7/12/07		
117 CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY	7/16/07		
118 GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA	7/18/07		
119 COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07		
120 Chattaway, Paul S	7/25/07		
121 Vincent, Perry	7/25/07		
122 Tower Communications, Inc	7/26/07		
123 McDonald Construction Corporation	7/31/07		
124 Orange City, Of, FL	8/6/07		
125 South Sales Communications Inc	8/6/07		
126 SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC	8/6/07		
127 CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL	8/6/07		
128 Rutherford Electric Membership Corp	8/13/07		
129 Two Way Radio of Carolina	8/13/07		
130 MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC	8/13/07		
131 C & S Communications INC	8/15/07		
132 TERREBONNE, PARISH OF, LA	8/15/07		
133 OGLETHORPE POWER CORP	8/24/07		
134 Gunby Communications Inc.	9/4/07		
135 University of West Florida	9/4/07		
136 VoiceLink Communications, Inc.	9/7/07		
137 MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, FL	9/12/07		
138 Amoco Oil Company	9/13/07		
139 Miami Springs, City of, FL	9/14/07		
140 BCW INC	9/18/07		
141 Atlanta, City of, GA	9/18/07		
142 Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Utility Company Inc	9/25/07		
143 Carroll County Water Authority, GA	10/1/07		
144 COLLINS, ROLAND	10/3/07		
145 PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL	10/8/07		
146 MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL	10/16/07		
147 GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL	10/16/07		
148 Orange, County of, FL	10/23/07		
149 PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL	10/25/07		
150 WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/16/07		
151 Car Comm / End of Line	12/14/07		
152 JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, MS	2/11/08		
153 GREATER ORLANDO AVIATION AUTHORITY, FL	2/27/08		
154 Wireless Services of Puerto Rico Inc	3/11/08		
155 DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	3/11/08		
156 TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	3/13/08		
157 Florida, State of - State Attorney	3/21/08		
158 Targa Midstream Services Limited Partnership	3/24/08		
159 Richard Raabe - Virgin Islands & PR	4/7/08		
160 John Herby - VI	4/11/08		
161 LAUDERHILL, CITY OF, FL	4/14/08		
162 LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	4/14/08		

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
163	JEFFERSON LEVEE BOARD, LA	4/14/08	
164	Monsanto Company	4/18/08	
165	Fayette, County of, GA	4/22/08	
166	CHATHAM, COUNTY OF, GA	4/23/08	
167	Mac Bee Harvesting Inc	5/5/08	
168	Clyde W Sell - PR	5/19/08	
169	MOSS POINT, CITY OF, MS	5/27/08	
170	Mead Coated Board Inc	6/10/08	
171	Wackenhut Corporation	6/10/08	
172	Exxon Communications Company	6/23/08	
173	Florida, State of - DOC	8/14/08	
174	MERIDIAN, CITY OF, MS	9/2/08	
175	Chevron USA Mississippi	10/7/08	
176	George W Garland - Virgin Islands & PR	10/16/08	
177	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	10/31/08	
178	MACON, CITY OF, GA	12/2/08	
179	Fulton, County of, GA	12/2/08	
180	FCCA LLC	12/15/08	
181	Aeronautical Radio - Georgia	1/22/09	
182	Jupiter Town, of, FL	1/30/09	
183	MIAMI, CITY OF, FL	2/17/09	
184	THOMAS, COUNTY OF, GA	2/17/09	
185	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company	2/23/09	
186	Hillsborough, County Of, FL	3/23/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3</b>		<b>186</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>			
1	J.R. Simplot Company	12/23/05	
2	Johnson, Harold L #3	3/27/06	
3	Keller, Mike L.	4/27/06	
4	Josh Klassen Inc.	6/1/06	
5	Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap)	6/2/06	
6	Hopkins, Terry ( 800 Rebanding )	6/6/06	
7	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/06	
8	Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 ( Swap)	7/25/06	
9	Schatzlein, David A. #2	8/1/06	
10	Sims, Vicki	9/15/06	
11	Chingas, Joseph	9/18/06	
12	Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network	9/19/06	
13	Middlesex, Township of, PA	9/20/06	
14	Rizzo, Alice P.	11/9/06	
15	Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital	12/6/06	
16	Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA	1/2/07	
17	Texas Communications Inc.	2/5/07	
18	Waste Management Holdings, Inc.	2/9/07	
19	Aksala Electronics Inc.	2/21/07	
20	BRAUCHLE, JACK W	2/27/07	
21	BLASDELL, JAMES A	3/5/07	
22	ABRAHAM, KYE A	3/9/07	
23	Pagel Partnership	3/20/07	
24	NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS	3/27/07	
25	SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER	3/27/07	
26	GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON REVOCABLE TRUST	4/12/07	
27	STELLER, JO ANN	4/12/07	
28	RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC	4/30/07	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
29	WELLMON, STEVE	4/30/07	
30	B&M Enterprises	5/14/07	
31	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy	5/14/07	
32	SAMNADDA, TERRY	5/14/07	
33	PETRIE, GLENN	5/17/07	
34	Gerhard, Stephen	5/23/07	
35	Bumgarner, Jeffrey W	5/23/07	
36	SMITH, JOY L	5/25/07	
37	Abilene, City of, TX	6/14/07	
38	ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP	7/10/07	
39	SPRAGUE, JO A	8/6/07	
40	TUCKER, ALAN	8/13/07	
41	ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP	8/13/07	
42	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH	8/13/07	
43	New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police	8/13/07	
44	Capital Digitronics, Inc.	8/28/07	
45	KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GROUP	9/14/07	
46	Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina	10/17/07	
47	Skitronics EA Swap	11/16/07	
48	GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI	11/21/07	
49	OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI	12/3/07	
50	HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA	12/7/07	
51	HAMILTON, CITY OF, OH	1/9/08	
52	Specialty Corporation--Gill	1/11/08	
53	P & R Spectrum Resources, Inc.	3/10/08	
54	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	3/10/08	
55	WYCKOFF FARMS INC	3/21/08	
56	PENN HILS, MUNICIPALITY OF, PA	3/25/08	
57	Kenneth and Eva Held Trust #2 Reband 800	4/30/08	
58	CINCINNATI LIMOUSINE SERVICE INC	4/30/08	
59	Telebeeper Guam EA Swap	5/14/08	
60	BOLTHOUSE FARMS INC	5/20/08	
61	MORaine MATERIALS CO INC	5/30/08	
62	MESA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 4, AZ	6/16/08	
63	Anheuser Busch Wave 4	7/16/08	
64	WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA	7/28/08	
65	CELLSMR LICENSE HOLDINGS LLC	7/30/08	
66	Durham School Services	8/1/08	
67	GRAND RAPIDS, CITY OF, MI	8/12/08	
68	CONWAY SR, ROBERT J	9/23/08	
69	Clark County, OR	10/30/08	
70	KENT, COUNTY OF, MI	11/11/08	
71	Santa Fe, City of	11/25/08	
72	DAYTON, CITY OF, OH	12/8/08	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4</b>		<b>72</b>
<b>Total Completed FRAs</b>			<b>739</b>

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

# Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	Manassas, City of	5/27/2008	
2	La Rue Communications	6/23/2008	
3	Anheuser Busch Wave 1	7/16/2008	
4	Charter, Tom or Perry DBA - T&P Farms	10/3/2008	
5	Roanoke, County of (VA)	3/23/2009	
6	Roy Street Enterprises Inc.	3/24/2009	
7	Essex, County of	3/24/2009	
<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>			<b>7</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	Fayette, County of	9/13/2007	
<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>Wave 3</b>			
1	Mountain Park, City of, GA	2/26/07	
2	CAPE CORAL, CITY OF, FL	5/27/08	
3	WINTER GARDEN CITRUS GROWERS ASSN	3/23/09	
4	FLORIDA MOBILE TELECOM INC	3/24/09	
<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3</b>			<b>4</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>			
<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4</b>			<b>0</b>
<b>Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review</b>			<b>12</b>

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 1 Completed PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Roanoke, County of (VA)	12/15/2006	
2	Illinois Power Company	7/12/2007	
3	Chesterfield County, VA	1/15/2008	
4	Boston, City of - Police (MA)	5/12/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		4
Wave 2			
1	Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc.	12/1/2006	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
1	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	9/28/2007	
2	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/15/2007	
3	JONES, COUNTY OF, MS	4/14/2008	
4	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	4/17/2008	
5	Duke Energy Company - Rebanding	4/22/2008	
6	BOCA RATON, CITY OF, FL	4/23/2008	
7	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	4/29/2008	
8	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	5/12/2008	
9	Pasco, County OF, FL	7/7/2008	
10	DeKalb County Police Department, GA	8/29/2008	
11	Chevron USA Mississippi	9/29/2008	
12	Rockdale County of, GA	11/10/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		12
Wave 4			
1	SLIDELL, CITY OF, LA	1/18/2008	
2	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	1/24/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		2
Total Completed PFAs			19

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/06	
2	New Albany, City Of	10/2/06	
3	Bluffton, City Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
4	Pendleton, Town Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
5	Bloomington, City OF	3/15/07	
6	WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II	3/15/07	
7	ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II	5/17/07	
8	WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	7/2/07	
9	ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	7/10/07	
10	Poughkeepsie, Town Of, NY	8/6/07	
11	WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II	8/13/07	
12	BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II	9/12/07	
13	SOUTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH, IL PH II	1/25/08	
14	RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY, VA PH II	2/19/08	
15	WATERFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	5/15/08	
16	NEWTON, CITY OF, MA PH II	6/11/08	
17	Monterey, County of (CA)	6/27/08	
18	PORTER, TOWN OF, IN PH II	7/16/08	
19	WHITE PLAINS, CITY OF, NY PH II	7/22/08	
20	MIDDLETOWN, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/22/08	
21	HOWELL, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/25/08	
22	WESTPORT, TOWN OF, CT PH II	8/4/08	
23	Campbell, City of, CA	8/11/08	
24	BLACKSBURG, TOWN OF, VA PH II	8/19/08	
25	NORTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	9/3/08	
26	New York, State of PH II Metro 21	9/3/08	
27	Wilbraham, Town of, MA PH II STA	9/8/08	
28	Lagrange County Communications, IN	9/11/08	
29	Emeryville, City of, CA	9/16/08	
30	Fair Lawn, Boro of, NJ Ph II	9/17/08	
31	MISHAWAKA, CITY OF, IN PH II	9/29/08	
32	GURNEE, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	9/29/08	
33	SOUTH HADLEY, TOWN OF, MA PH II	10/1/08	
34	San Bruno, City of, CA PH II	10/7/08	
35	OREGON, STATE OF, OR PH II	10/9/08	
36	New York, State of PH II OCFS	10/23/08	
37	SCARSDALE, VILLAGE OF, NY PH II	10/27/08	
38	WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	11/7/08	
39	REDWOOD CITY, CITY OF, CA PH II	11/21/08	
40	CONTRA COSTA, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	11/24/08	
41	Bolingbrook, Village of, IL	12/3/08	
42	LODI, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/5/08	
43	PACIFICA, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/9/08	
44	NORTH ANDOVER, TOWN OF, MA PH II	12/9/08	
45	PIEDMONT, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/7/09	
46	Burns Harbor, Town Of	1/9/09	
47	Portage, City of	1/16/09	
48	EASTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	1/22/09	
49	KENOSHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	1/22/09	
50	SUNNYVALE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/30/09	
51	WATERTOWN, TOWN OF, MA PH II	2/17/09	
52	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	2/17/09	
53	CHICOPEE, CITY OF, MA PH II	2/19/09	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
54	Merced, County of, CA	3/9/09	
55	HASBROUCK HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF, NJ PH II	3/9/09	
56	BERKSHIRE COUNTY OF, MA PH II	3/13/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>56</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	1/3/07	
2	Louisville, City of, KY	3/20/07	
3	MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II	7/2/07	
4	MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/25/07	
5	JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/31/07	
6	BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/28/07	
7	LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	10/16/07	
8	MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/10/07	
9	BENTON, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/18/08	
10	Sebastian, County of, AR PH II	3/11/08	
11	SEVIER, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	4/11/08	
12	CONWAY, CITY OF, AR PH II	4/11/08	
13	DUNCANVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/08	
14	KINGSPORT, CITY OF, TN PH II	5/27/08	
15	KENTON COUNTY AIRPORT BOARD, KY PH II	6/10/08	
16	SCOTTSBLUFF, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	6/13/08	
17	LIBERTY, CITY OF, MO PH II	7/8/08	
18	TONGANOXIE, CITY OF, KS PH II	7/9/08	
19	SHERBURNE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	7/9/08	
20	GARFIELD, COUNTY OF, OK PH II	7/14/08	
21	COUNCIL BLUFFS, CITY OF, IA PH II	7/16/08	
22	Saline, County of, NE PH II	7/21/08	
23	CITY UTILITIES OF SPRINGFIELD, MO PH II	7/30/08	
24	CRAWFORD COUNTY OF, KS PH II	8/5/08	
25	KENTUCKY, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	8/8/08	
26	BROWN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/08	
27	Nemaha, County of, NE PH II	8/20/08	
28	CAMPBELL, COUNTY OF, KY PH II	8/27/08	
29	SHERMAN, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/28/08	
30	GREENVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	9/9/08	
31	PARKER, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	9/9/08	
32	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	9/9/08	
33	Fremont, City of, NE PH II	9/16/08	
34	KENTUCKY STATE POLICE PH II	9/16/08	
35	WILLIAMSON, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	9/22/08	
36	ASHLAND, CITY OF, NE PH II	9/29/08	
37	York, County of, NE PH II	9/29/08	
38	Richardson, County of, NE PH II	10/1/08	
39	Fillmore, County of, NE PH II	10/8/08	
40	FINNEY, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	10/10/08	
41	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, AR PH II	11/3/08	
42	York, City of, NE PH II	11/10/08	
43	Middle TN State Univ PH II	11/11/08	
44	LEXINGTON FAYETTE URBAN CTY GOVERNMENT PH II	12/2/08	
45	WATERLOO, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/7/09	
46	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	1/12/09	
47	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	1/26/09	
48	City of Hendersonville Fire Department, TN PH II	2/6/09	
49	STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	2/13/09	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
50	GLADSTONE, CITY OF, MO PH II	3/13/09	
51	UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA, IA PH II	3/17/09	
52	Brentwood, City of, TN	3/23/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>52</b>
<b>Wave 3</b>			
1	HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07	
2	Crisp County School System, GA	9/4/07	
3	WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	1/14/08	
4	GRANVILLE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	3/10/08	
5	PICKENS, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	3/20/08	
6	ROCK HILL, CITY OF, SC PH II	7/3/08	
7	AVENTURA, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/10/08	
8	TAMPA, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/23/08	
9	HUNTSVILLE MADISON COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	10/21/08	
10	SIMPSON, COUNTY OF E911 COMMUNICATION, MS PH	11/3/08	
11	FOREST PARK, CITY OF, GA PH II	11/10/08	
12	Columbia, County of, GA PH II	11/10/08	
13	NORTH CAROLINA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS PH II	3/9/09	
14	Catoosa, County of, GA PH II STA	3/12/09	
15	ROME, CITY OF, GA PH II	3/18/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3</b>		<b>15</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>			
1	VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, WA PH II	1/25/08	
2	AUGLAIZE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	7/25/08	
3	COLONIE, TOWN OF, NY PH II	8/8/08	
4	LONG BEACH, CITY OF, CA PH II	10/20/08	
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/17/08	
6	VICTORIA, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/24/08	
7	CARLSBAD, CITY OF, NM PH II	2/6/09	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4</b>		<b>7</b>
<b>Total Completed FRAs</b>			<b>130</b>

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	GROTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	3/12/2009	
2	ALLEGHANY, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	3/18/2009	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		2
Wave 2			
1	COVINGTON, CITY OF, KY PH II	3/18/2009	
2	KENTON, COUNTY OF, KY PH II	3/18/2009	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		2
Wave 3			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			4

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	Monterey, County of (CA)	5/11/07	
2	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	6/11/07	
3	VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/14/08	
4	Colorado, State of PH II	3/10/08	
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	3/12/08	
6	EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II	3/20/08	
7	SHEBOYGAN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	3/20/08	
8	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II	4/7/08	
9	Nashua, City of	4/11/08	
10	PORTSMOUTH, CITY OF, VA PH II	4/11/08	
11	UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, CT PH II	4/11/08	
12	Brown, County of WI	4/14/08	
13	NORTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH SYSTEM, IL PH II	4/21/08	
14	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II	4/29/08	
15	LA CROSSE, CITY OF, WI PH II	4/29/08	
16	Maui, County of, HI PH II	5/21/08	
17	EAST CHICAGO, CITY OF, IN PH II	6/9/08	
18	University of California - Berkeley	6/11/08	
19	SALEM, CITY OF, OR PH II	6/11/08	
20	HOPEWELL, CITY OF, VA PH II	7/2/08	
21	WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	7/9/08	
22	Cook, County of, IL PH II	8/1/08	
23	DAVIS, CITY OF, CA PH II	8/18/08	
24	WAUKESHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	8/19/08	
25	University of California - Santa Cruz	9/17/08	
26	ROANOKE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	11/11/08	
27	University of California - Merced	11/24/08	
28	ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF, VA PH II	12/19/08	
29	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF, NY PH II	1/14/09	
30	KANKAKEE, CITY OF, IL PH II	2/12/09	
31	ILLINOIS, STATE OF PH II	2/12/09	
32	UPPER MARYLAND EASTERN SHORE CONSORTIUM, I	2/25/09	
33	MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANS AUTHORITY PH II	3/17/09	
34	WILL, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	3/24/09	
	<b>Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>34</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II	7/30/2007	
2	CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/26/2008	
3	Middle TN State Univ PH II	3/27/2008	
4	RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	3/31/2008	
5	BI STATE INFORMATION CENTER, TX PH II	4/18/2008	
6	Knox County Sheriff TN PH II	4/29/2008	
7	AUSTIN, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/1/2008	
8	PLANO, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/5/2008	
9	RICHARDSON, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/2008	
10	MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II	5/9/2008	
11	North Kansas City Police	5/9/2008	
12	COLLIN, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	5/14/2008	
13	East Texas Medical Center, TX PH II	5/14/2008	
14	LONGVIEW, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/14/2008	
15	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	5/15/2008	
16	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, AR PH II	6/10/2008	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
17	BUCHANAN, COUNTY OF, MO PH II	6/11/2008	
18	BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF, KY PH II	6/13/2008	
19	Sullivan, County of, TN	6/17/2008	
20	WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, NE P	6/17/2008	
21	DALLAS AREA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY, TX PH II	6/17/2008	
22	LEE, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/23/2008	
23	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	6/24/2008	
24	BELMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	6/24/2008	
25	BEAUMONT, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/30/2008	
26	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	7/10/2008	
27	STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/2008	
28	OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT, NE PH II	8/12/2008	
29	METRO GVT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON CTY PH II	8/14/2008	
30	WEST DES MOINES, CITY OF, IA PH II	10/8/2008	
31	Prairie Village, City of, KS PH II	11/11/2008	
32	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/20/2008	
33	HENNEPIN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/7/2009	
34	CEDAR RAPIDS, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/22/2009	
35	DOUGLAS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	2/3/2009	
36	DALLAS, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/19/2009	
37	LITTLE ROCK, CITY OF, AR PH II	3/9/2009	
38	DENTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/24/2009	
	<b>Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>38</b>
<b>Wave 3</b>			
1	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS	3/11/2008	
2	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	3/21/2008	
3	LAKELAND, CITY OF, FL PH II	4/4/2008	
4	CHARLOTTE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/14/2008	
5	CONCORD, CITY OF, NC PH II	4/14/2008	
6	FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	4/14/2008	
7	BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FL PH II	4/18/2008	
8	ALBANY, CITY OF, GA	4/18/2008	
9	SARASOTA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/18/2008	
10	ALBERTVILLE, CITY OF, AL	4/21/2008	
11	PANAMA CITY, CITY OF, FL	4/21/2008	
12	OPELIKA, CITY OF, AL PH II	4/21/2008	
13	IBERVILLE, PARISH OF, LA PH II	4/23/2008	
14	FAYETTE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/5/2008	
15	FLORENCE, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	5/9/2008	
16	MARION, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	5/15/2008	
17	HINDS, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	5/19/2008	
18	MINT HILL, TOWN OF, NC PH II	6/2/2008	
19	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II	6/4/2008	
20	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	6/11/2008	
21	CALCASIEU PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT PH	6/11/2008	
22	CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	6/30/2008	
23	SULPHUR, CITY OF, LA PH II	7/1/2008	
24	MOORESVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/9/2008	
25	TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/10/2008	
26	DUKE UNIVERSITY PH II	7/16/2008	
27	KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/24/2008	
28	MYRTLE BEACH, CITY OF, SC PH II	7/25/2008	
29	SHELBY, CITY OF, NC PH II	9/3/2008	
30	SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PH	9/25/2008	

Appendix 8  
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 Completed PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
31	LEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	9/29/2008	
32	DELRAY BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	10/16/2008	
33	CADDO PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DIST 1, LA PH II	10/17/2008	
34	SAINT CHARLES, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/19/2008	
35	PITT, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	11/24/2008	
36	CALCASIEU, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/26/2008	
37	CHARLESTON, CITY OF, SC PH II	11/26/2008	
38	DESOTO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12/2/2008	
39	LENOIR, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	12/2/2008	
40	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	12/8/2008	
41	HORRY, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	12/8/2008	
42	Hall, County of	12/15/2008	
43	PINEVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	12/15/2008	
44	EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II	12/15/2008	
45	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	1/6/2009	
46	PALM BEACH, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	1/14/2009	
47	BOSSIER, PARISH OF, LA PH II	1/30/2009	
48	SALISBURY, CITY OF, NC PH II	2/3/2009	
49	Atlanta, City of, GA PH II	2/3/2009	
<b>Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3</b>			<b>49</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>			
1	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	3/21/2008	
2	CLARK, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	4/29/2008	
3	LIVE OAK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/25/2008	
4	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/26/2008	
5	YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	12/8/2008	
6	HOBBS, CITY OF, NM PH II	12/19/2008	
7	CLERMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	1/5/2009	
8	VIA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT, TX PH II	3/20/2009	
<b>Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4</b>			<b>8</b>
<b>Total Completed PFAs</b>			<b>129</b>

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2009

Stage 2 PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
1	COPPERAS COVE, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/31/2009	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			1

Appendix 9  
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC  
Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2009

	Quarter Ending March 31, 2009	Year-to-Date
<b>Fees</b>		
Reconfiguration Management	\$749,393	\$749,393
Frequency Management	\$579,894	\$579,894
Financial Management	\$1,140,254	\$1,140,254
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,710,993	\$1,710,993
Stakeholder Implementation Management	\$2,570,657	\$2,570,657
TA Systems Support	\$476,184	\$476,184
Program Management Support	\$507,203	\$507,203
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$1,275,861	\$1,275,861
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$9,010,439	\$9,010,439
<b>Expenses</b>	\$131,141	\$131,141
<b>Total Fees and Expenses</b>	<b>\$9,141,580</b>	<b>\$9,141,580</b>